

# *Spearhead*

£1.50


No. 431

JANUARY 2005

ISSN 1364-7105

*African immigrants*

# **BLAIR HOISTS THE WHITE FLAG**



**We can't stop them flooding  
Britain, he says (even if they  
bring in AIDS with them!)**

*Page 2*



# WHAT WE THINK

## Let 'em all in!

Tony Blair told us last month what we already knew, but it was still interesting to get it from the horse's mouth: he has completely given up on trying to stem the flood of people now coming into Britain from Africa.

In an extraordinary four-page article in *The Economist* just after Christmas, the Prime Minister said that trying to shut the country's borders to mass immigration from Africa was 'utterly futile'. He explained:-

"We must now all accept the utter futility of trying to shut our borders to problems abroad.

"Famine in Africa will affect our countries because it will be a trigger for mass migration."

So now no one in this country should be in any further doubt. Only nine months after promising to tighten up on immigration controls, our Premier has revealed the real truth. He is not even going to try to stop hordes more Africans coming in. He has simply hoisted the white flag.

## Nationalist comment

Let us be in no doubt as to what this means. Quite apart from the appalling *racial* consequences to this country of the Blair policy, there is in the case of people from Africa the additional threat of a huge upsurge in AIDS. Recent reports have revealed that AIDS cases involving heterosexually-acquired HIV have increased fivefold in Britain over the past decade to a new level of 3,800 a year – an enormous new burden on our already groaning health service. And of these infections it is estimated that 90 per cent have been acquired overseas, mainly in Africa.

Just where are these people going to be put? Pardon a little facetiousness over an issue so deadly serious but how about starting by housing some of them in Mr. Blair's newly acquired £3 million-plus London property?

## HM toes the party line

Perhaps we should not be surprised that a major theme in the Queen's Christmas message was a plea for greater understanding between religious and racial groups in Britain. Her Majesty is always under enormous pressure to observe the current orthodoxy of the day and placate the ruling government. On this occasion, Palace officials were at pains to stress that her choice of subject and words were her own, and were not dictated by politicians – which suggests a little "protesting too much," as Shakespeare would have termed it. Of course, as in all régimes where the 'party line' is rigidly regulated, individuals become so well house-trained that they get to know

just what it is good for them to say and what not. We should not therefore take this declaration too much to heart.

Of course, the idea of greater understanding between different religions and races is not necessarily contrary to that of an acknowledgement of racial *differences* and a belief in the desirability of ultimate racial separation. What is wrong in Britain today is the presence of a huge number and variety of racial outsiders in our midst. 'Understanding' other races is fine so long as it does not contradict awareness of that wrong and weaken the resolve to correct it. We wonder whether Her Majesty had this in mind when she composed her address.

There was rather an amusing sequel to this Christmas Day speech. Just afterwards, Prince Harry was at a party when a young lady started questioning him, quite politely it appears, about his latest girlfriend. "I understand she's Zimbabwean," the lady cooed. The Prince quickly retorted: "Oh, but she isn't black or anything like that!" Perhaps a word from Grandma might have followed. It would have been fascinating at that moment to have been a fly on the wall!

## Exploiting human tragedy

The *tsunami* disaster in Southern Asia, which may end up causing a quarter of a million deaths, is a human catastrophe over which worldwide sympathy should be natural and spontaneous and should – in this case – override barriers of race. That can be stated beyond question.

But nevertheless, we should not be inhibited by the prevailing atmosphere of grief from making one or two comments about things that the disaster has highlighted among our own chattering classes here in Britain.

To begin with, it is fair to say that media coverage of events and their aftermath was grossly excessive when measured alongside coverage of other important news coming to notice at the same time. TV news bulletins and reports and commentaries in the papers went completely overboard in their allocation of space. In some papers the first eight or nine pages were devoted exclusively to the disaster, while TV news reports would grind on for half an hour or more before any other news was even given a sniff. This illustrates a woeful lack of proportion. Appalling though the tragedy and the scale of death were, the world does have to carry on; and important events of other kinds do demand attention.

The other feature of the catastrophe was that, as with so many events of its kind, it provided an unwelcome stage for every little bleeding heart and professional 'humanitarian' to engage in indecent exhibitions of

## Spearhead

No. 431 JANUARY 2005  
PO Box 2471, Hove, East Sussex BN3 4GG  
Editor: John Tyndall

*Spearhead* exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British Nationalist opinion. It is privately published by its editor and is independent of all political parties and groups.

Unless specifically stated otherwise, the views expressed in signed articles or letters in *Spearhead* are the sole responsibility of their writers and should not be taken to represent the views of the editor or of any political organisation that *Spearhead* may support editorially.

*Spearhead* welcomes enquiries from prospective advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. The editor reserves the right to refuse, without giving reasons, to publish any advertisement submitted. The appearance of an advertisement in *Spearhead* does not necessarily indicate that the editor knows anything about, is interested in or supports the product, service, organisation or function being advertised.

The editor is pleased to receive from readers manuscripts of articles for possible publication. The preferred length is between 1,000 and 1,800 words. The preferred method for sending such a manuscript is by computer disk. If computer facilities are not available to the writer, the manuscript should be submitted typed with the use of a typewriter of decent quality, with a ribbon which leaves the text distinctive and without smudges. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Spearhead* copyright unless the writer specifically requests otherwise. The editor reserves the right to shorten or in any other way amend articles submitted for publication.

Those wishing to reprint *Spearhead* items in other publications should be sure when doing so to give public acknowledgement to both the writer and *Spearhead* and to include in the acknowledgement the magazine's full address.



personal conscience before the world's TV cameras and in the press. In this respect, behaviour was similar to that following the death of Princess Diana in 1997. In the case of Britain it revealed, again, a universal weeping and wailing repulsively alien to the national character on which we used to pride ourselves. One of the silliest outbursts was that of the Archbishop of Canterbury, who proclaimed that the disaster had made him question his belief in God. Surely, that belief could not have been very strong for it to be thus shaken – has not history provided ample parallels, some of which are documented in the Bible? It all leads one to suspect that the good Archbishop was just looking for something to say, when dignified restraint might have been more appropriate.

And of course, the disaster provided a perfect opportunity for the apostles of internationalism. The fact that it resulted in the deaths of people of almost every nationality, and thus occasioned mourning in almost every country, was eagerly seized upon by those who never tire of telling us that our world is constantly shrinking and that we all now belong to a 'global village'.

Of course, mature observation tells us that the post-*tsunami* world is exactly the same as it was before, that the tragedy has not changed it in the slightest and that that tragedy is just the latest of a great number of similar ones that occur from time to time across history. Sympathy and mourning are entirely appropriate, but this should be balanced by an adult and manly restraint.

## What is at stake in the Ukraine

Was the first Ukrainian presidential election in November, which resulted in pro-Russian candidate Viktor Yanukovich getting elected, rigged or not? Is it true that Yanukovich's followers actually did poison rival candidate Viktor Yushenko?

Certain answers to these questions are not available, but there is one thing that can be said with assurance. Two sets of forces of world importance were clashing in that election. On the one hand, there were the forces of globalism and international finance, which were determined to secure a Yushenko victory. On the other, there were the forces of nationalism and tradition, which sought to curb the globalisers' outreach in Eastern Europe and build a counter-power to the US-dominated New World Order, now extending its tentacles into those areas formerly constituting the Soviet Bloc.

The term 'nationalism' should be clarified here. The Western media, almost in unison, have depicted the conflict in the Ukraine as one involving that country's



### 'GOOD GUY' IMAGE

**Yushenko was the darling of the Western media, but the reality is that he was CIA-sponsored.**

'independence', suggesting that the Yushenko camp stood on the side of national freedom and the Yanukovich camp stood against it. This might suggest that nationalists should be sympathetic to the former; but this supposition would be wrong.

Mark Almond, writing in the *Mail on Sunday* of 2nd January, was refreshingly frank in stating the real scenario. In an article headed 'If the Cold War is over, why did the CIA buy the Ukraine election?' he detailed some of the huge American involvement in the contest, saying that groups in the United States funnelled at least £50 million to pro-Yushenko organisations – more than the total spent on the British 2001 general election by all the parties combined! And he went on to say that:-

"If Russia was pouring equivalent sums into elections in Ireland or Belgium, there would be an international outcry."

There was, of course, an international outcry, loudly orchestrated by the Western media, about supposed malpractices on the Yanukovich side. But did their opponents have clean hands? In the subsequent re-run of the election in December, which brought victory to the Western-supported Yushenko party, there was much evidence that they did not. According to Almond...

"... people controlling many polling stations were wearing Yushenko's orange party colours! Would you trust a polling station run by New Labour members or Conservatives in rosettes?

"Sometimes dubious results backing the Bad Guy are queried while similar problems in regions voting for the Western candidate are ignored."

The reality is that the ethics involved in this Ukrainian elections are much more mixed and confused than appear on the surface. But much more important are the particular powers and forces at work in them. That the CIA and other US-based bodies should be interfering in these internal affairs should be a cause of deep concern. And though we here in Britain would be right to take the view that what happens in the Ukraine and among Ukrainians is their business, we should be pondering on just how far this CIA involvement in politics around the world extends. Do the CIA and other such groups have their fingers in the politics of our own country, using huge funds to support the parties favourable to US (more correctly, Zionist) hegemony and hostile to national sovereignty and independence? It is not a wild and fanciful question but one that should be thoroughly concentrating our minds.

## Latest round to the IRA

We in this magazine have always had a soft spot for the Rev. Ian Paisley. He is one of the few real men in the House of Commons; and he is undoubtedly a patriot. But on occasion his political judgement can falter.

He put the block on the latest attempted agreement for power-sharing in Northern Ireland by insisting that he wouldn't sign anything until he had seen photographic evidence of IRA weapons being destroyed.

But just what would such photographs tell us? It would be the easiest thing in the world for the Republican mobsters to take snaps of a few guns in a damaged state and then produce them as evidence of its peaceful intentions for the future. And even if the snaps were genuine, the terrorists could quite easily replace the weapons double-quick. Indeed, in view of the probability that it was an IRA raid that recently netted £20 million from the Northern Bank in Belfast, the means to pay for such a replacement ought to be no problem at all.

We have said it repeatedly before but we must say it again. Peace in Northern Ireland will not come until the IRA and all allied organisations are *smashed* and their operatives put permanently behind bars – if indeed they escape execution.

## Political correctness backfires!

Scotland Yard recently commissioned a model agency to produce a recruiting poster showing respectable-looking officers on parade, three out of five of them being 'ethnics'. All very proper.

Until one cop recognised a black model as being a man he had arrested for mugging some years back. Oops!



# EXPULLED – YET AGAIN!



TYNDALL  
Rejects charges

FOR THE SECOND TIME in no more than 16 months. Spearhead editor and British National Party founder John Tyndall has been expelled from the BNP. A disciplinary tribunal set up on the authority of present party chairman Nick Griffin and presided over by his chief henchman Tony Lecomber delivered a 'guilty' verdict and a unanimous decision in favour of the expulsion of Mr. Tyndall following an examination of thirteen charges at a hearing in Essex on December 4th.

Mr. Tyndall was acquitted by the tribunal on one of the charges, while a verdict of 'not proven' was given in respect of five more. He was found guilty on the remaining seven. Which were:-

- That he had published in Spearhead a notice announcing the formation of the Spearhead Support Group (later renamed the Spearhead Group). Which precise words in this advertisement which constituted a disciplinary 'offence' are unclear, but the advertisement appeared on page 21 of the April 2004 issue, and readers are recommended to look up their back copies in order to examine it.
- That he had published in the December 2003 issue of Spearhead a booklist containing titles which it was deemed might cause the BNP to be associated with Holocaust Revisionism, Mosley Fascism, German Nazism and Terrorism.
- That he had published in the May 2004 issue of Spearhead an article severely critical of the BNP's adoption of a Jewish candidate for a local government by-election in Epping Forest, Essex. Because of his arguments in this article in opposition to the adoption Mr. Tyndall was accused of 'anti-Semitism', which was deemed by the prosecution to be "completely indefensible in modern politics."
- That he had published in the February 2004 issue of Spearhead advertisements for the books *The Up-rising* (by Colin Jordan) and *The Turner Diaries* (by Andrew McDonald, otherwise known as Dr. William L. Pierce). It was deemed that by publishing these items Mr. Tyndall was causing the BNP to be associated with 'Nazism' and 'terrorism'.
- That he had published in the June 2003 issue of Spearhead an article 'On the Crucial Question of Power', which was deemed in parts to be 'pro-Nazi'.
- That he had published in the October 2003 issue of Spearhead and later kept posted on the magazine's website an article 'The Problem is Mr. Griffin', which was deemed to amount to "the spreading of false and malicious rumours" against the BNP chairman.
- That on 11th July 2004, at a meeting in Leeds, he had shared a platform with persons 'proscribed' by the party.

Mr. Tyndall defended himself against six of these

seven charges by stating that, as Spearhead was an independent magazine, he had the sole prerogative as proprietor and editor in deciding its content, whether this related to advertisements or articles. He pointed out that the magazine had always been independent of the BNP, but that since he had ceased to occupy any position in the party whatever (which was the case from September 1999) this independence had become underlined by becoming political as well as legal. He also pointed out that the separation of magazine and party had been further underlined after he had removed, at the end of 2002 and at the request of the party chairman, all lists previously advertising the party's book section and the customary advertisement for the party itself which had been a regular feature on the back page of every issue. He stated that neither the party nor its chairman had any lawful control whatsoever over the magazine, and therefore no right to dictate its contents to him.

This did not mean that he accepted the validity of charges relating to associations with 'Nazism' or 'anti-Semitism' in respect of advertisements or articles but only that any such charges fell at first base because of the realities of ownership and control and his prerogatives as the magazine's proprietor and editor.

In reply to the charge of "spreading false and malicious rumours" against Mr. Griffin, Mr. Tyndall insisted that such acts could not possibly be offences in the rules of any association; that in political parties people attack other people; that far worse attacks had been made by Tony Lecomber against him (Tyndall) without any disciplinary action being taken; and that those who felt they had been slandered or libelled by others had recourse to the courts of law if they so wished.

Mr. Tyndall told the tribunal that rules of proscription within any unincorporated association could not lawfully extend to dictating to members with which persons they may associate at times and on occasions not under the association's auspices.

It is noteworthy that of the thirteen 'offences' with which Mr. Tyndall was originally charged, ten related to acts that occurred in or before February 2004; yet no charges connected with these acts were made before the 26th September 2004. It is further noteworthy that these charges were issued a relatively short time after Mr. Tyndall had formally announced his intention to challenge Nick Griffin for the party leadership, this announcement being made in July 2004.

Mr. Tyndall has lodged an official appeal against his expulsion, as provided for in Section 6(10) of the BNP Constitution. Should this fail, the matter will be taken to a court of law by means of application for judicial review. A similar action was taken in October 2003, but Mr. Griffin pre-empted it going to court by way of an out-of-court settlement whereby Mr. Tyndall's expulsion was quashed and he reinstated.

See 'Enemy Finger in the Pie' (page 8)



# The things they're saying

Freedom of speech means nothing, after all, if it is taken to mean only the freedom to express views approved by the Government, or those that nobody finds offensive. If the term is to mean anything, it must surely include the freedom to give offence.

**LEADER ARTICLE**  
*The Daily Telegraph* (15.12.04)

Tony Blair's photo-opportunity at Number 10 with distinguished members of the Jewish community, including his Middle East adviser Lord Levy and the Board of Deputies president Henry Grunwald, is big news in the *Jewish Chronicle*. The picture caption says the Israeli Ambassador, Zvi Heifetz, and members of his family "joined Tony Blair for the first-ever Chanukah-lighting ceremony at 10 Downing Street." A rabbi friend says: "Lord Levy and the Ambassador ought to have been lighting candles in the privacy of their own homes with their own families. To do so in Number 10, where, presumably, Chanukah is not observed by the Anglican/Catholic family who live there, makes no sense at all."

**EPHRAIM HARDCASTLE**  
*Daily Mail* (17.12.04)

You have to go back 29 years to find a time when taxation, waste, incompetence and state interference were as high as they are in Britain today. Violent crime, drug-taking, sexually transmitted diseases, teenage pregnancies, yobbery and illegal immigration are at record levels. Health, education and transport are all much worse than 40 years ago. Labour is doing all it can to keep things that way.

**RICHARD LITTLEJOHN**  
*The Sun* (30.11.04)

Of course, it does not always suit Mr. Blair to be so casual about morality. There is his *alter ego* – the slightly pious former public schoolboy, muscular Christian and church-going family man, so cuttngly parodied in *Private Eye* as the Vicar of St. Albions. When it helps him, he can opportunistically turn on the holier-than-thou aspect of his character, which is very much what he did in advocating the war on Iraq.

Indeed, in his rhetoric about British interventionism abroad in general, he is quite clear in his own mind – or so it seems – about the dividing line between right and wrong.

At home, when talking about the need for tolerance and understanding of minorities, he can appear censorious of those who depart from the Christian or humanitarian path.

And yet there is the paradox not just of his

## EXTRACTS FROM THE MAINSTREAM MEDIA

dispensing with morality now in the case of his colleagues, but also in trying to foist a policy of dubious morality upon the public in advocating round-the-clock drinking and a proliferation of super-casinos.

**SIMON HEFFER**  
*Daily Mail* (1.12.04)

Some people wrote last week that Anthony Blair had been to Baghdad. This is not true. He went instead to a fake Iraq, hidden behind screens of soldiers and towering walls.

Only in this dream zone could anyone persuade themselves that all is going well in this disaster created by the pride and vanity of little, ignorant puffed-up men such as Mr. Blair.

Yes, there will be an 'election'. Yes, millions will cast 'votes'.

Similar pantomimes took place in Afghanistan. But the result will not be a free, peaceful or lawful country. Rather than admit this and be sorry, Mr. Blair and his supporters will retreat into the fantasy world which is only kept in existence by brave soldiers whose boots Mr. Blair is not worthy to polish.

**CHRISTOPHER HITCHENS**  
*The Mail on Sunday* (26.12.04)

But at the heart of the Blunkett business is the fundamentally disingenuous, dishonest argument that somehow personal is personal and political is political, and it's an error of taste to confuse them.

That argument was nicely summed up by the Prime Minister last week when he remarked: "The question is: is something in someone's private life an interference with their public duty?... Whatever the difficulties of [Blunkett's] private life, those are part of his private life." It all sounds like a truism, except it's not true.

How someone conducts his personal life ought to affect the way we think about him. The judgement and discretion you bring to your private affairs isn't boxed off from the qualities you assume in public life – it's a bit dualist, this particular heresy.

**MELANIE McDONAGH**  
*The Sunday Times* (5.12.04)

Another contrast brought out by the reporting of the tsunami disaster has been between the two quite different ways by which people now try to convey the scale of such a terrifying phenomenon. Those viewing it from a distance, such as seismologists, have all spoken solemnly of walls of water "six metres high" roaring "up to a kilometre" inland. However, those directly caught up in this awful experience have almost without

exception talked of the sea "withdrawing several hundred yards," followed by "a wave 20 feet high," which filled rooms "to within a foot of the ceiling."

It is as if we now speak two different languages: the language of ordinary folk, and that of the ruling élite, abetted by politically correct BBC hacks (although, initially, even one or two of these, in the excitement of the moment, forgot to observe the orthodoxies).

**CHRISTOPHER BOOKER**  
*The Sunday Telegraph* (2.1.05)

It is folly for people to believe that occasional bursts of charitable giving and more official aid are the answer to poverty in Africa. If only that were true.

Things were different during the British (and French) empires. As the eminent economist Prof. Deepak Lal reminds us in his new book *In Praise of Empires*, those deprived nations were coming along nicely under colonial rule.

New crops and new agricultural methods were introduced. Mineral resources were exploited. Trade flourished...

Since independence, incomes have stagnated and in some cases actually declined. Some of this can be blamed on left-inclined British politicians, who urged and financed government-controlled enterprises not only wasteful but also incitements to corruption.

Alongside that, wars and civil wars have raged throughout the continent, not least because rival politicians wanted to get their hands on government money with its bonus income from Western 'aid'.

**ANDREW ALEXANDER**  
*Daily Mail* (17.12.04)

Terror was the theme underlying the legislative programme outlined in the Queen's Speech last week. Unfortunately, the terror which the Government believes justifies its new security measures is not the terror which gnaws at most people in Britain. The Government is concerned about Islamic fanatics and their threat to perpetrate some horrible outrage in London. I understand that threat – but it is one which most people have learned to live with, just as we learned to live with the fear of IRA bombs.

What we can't get used to, however, is the terror caused by the daily mayhem which now haunts our streets. The violence that frightens most of us is not a bomb from al-Qaeda; it's the thugs in the local neighbourhood who rob people...

**NORMAN BRENNAN**  
*The Sunday Telegraph* (28.11.04)



# A day in New Labour's Soviet Republic

WE were just leaving a Christmas social, organised by the Spearhead Group. The place was Blackburn, Lancs. It was the late afternoon of the 12th December, and I was being taken by two friends in their car back to their home a few miles away, where they had been putting me up for the weekend.

A police car was spotted through the rear-view mirror. Was it tailing us? It was. A minute or two later we were waved down. Had my host been exceeding the speed limit? It looked as if it could be something like this when he was asked to get out of the car. But no! A minute or two after that, I was asked to get out. By now another car had come on the scene. Two plain-clothes police officers approached me, obtained confirmation of my name and told me I was under arrest in connection with a speech I had made in Burnley back in March, parts of which had been shown in the TV documentary *Secret Agent* in July.

Most readers will remember that documentary. A disgusting creature of an undercover journalist had insinuated himself into a British National Party meeting by posing as a party supporter. Using a concealed camera, he had filmed the meeting as well as filming other party scenes across Lancashire and Yorkshire. A few extracts of my Burnley speech were shown in the programme.

I was informed that I was being taken to Halifax, in West Yorkshire, for questioning (i.e. interrogation) concerning the speech. During the journey down the M62 the two officers, who I must acknowledge were not personally hostile, told me enough to make it clear to me that my arrest was only a part of a major operation, involving a large number of officers and extending over several months, all with the object of ascertaining whether there was enough evidence for me and others to be charged with offences under the 'race hatred' section of the Public Order Act.

## TIE, BELT AND LACES TAKEN

On arrival at Halifax police station, I was subjected to the usual procedures carried out with arrested persons. All my personal effects were taken. I was required also to hand over my tie and belt – the reasoning here being that I would thus be deprived of the means to hang myself should the mood take me! I was then shown into a cell, but first obliged to take off my

shoes – just in case I might use the laces for a similar suicidal effort. Just how I could have performed such an operation I am still trying to work out!

I was not there too long. After about 20 minutes, a plain-clothes sergeant arrived and I was led into an interview room, which was to be my place of abode for approximately the next three hours. With the sergeant was a youngish WPC, also in plain clothes. Oh I forgot! We're not supposed to call them this nowadays. All are now 'PCs'



Michael Howard speaking at Burnley in February last year. His attack on the BNP called for a firm reply.

according to the new PC code (pardon the pun), which does not recognise sex differences!

In the first part of the exercise I had to watch the entirety of the secretly filmed video of my Burnley speech made by the KGB – sorry, BBC – agent. This was in order that I may have fresh in memory any parts over which I would be interrogated. After this the interrogation began.

## 'RACIALLY INFLAMMATORY'

Needless to say, the questions centred on those passages which our lords and masters think might be deemed 'racially inflammatory'. I said straight away that the speech was made to what I regarded as a closed audience, that is to say one consisting entirely of BNP members or supporters. It was a BNP branch meeting, not a public one. Those at the door had the right, on security grounds, to refuse entry to anyone they chose, a very necessary procedure at all such party meetings. I said that because of

**JOHN TYNDALL relates the story of his arrest and interrogation in the North last month**

the composition of the audience I felt it was safe to assume that no one listening to the speech would be thus influenced on racial matters one way or the other. Almost everyone present was assumed to have the same views already on such matters as I did, and should it transpire that the audience had been infiltrated by hostile elements (which

happened, as it turned out), it might likewise be assumed that such people's views would not be changed by anything I said.

The interrogator kept, as in the manner of prosecuting counsel in court, trying to put me on the spot by asking me to consider whether someone in the audience might, as a result of something I said, be prompted to go out after the meeting and commit some violent act on a person or property belonging to one of the ethnic minorities. I replied saying that no speaker, anywhere, can absolutely guarantee that there would not be some unbalanced individual in his audience who could commit such an act subsequently – though trying to tie the act to the speech would be exceedingly difficult by all normal criteria of justice; also that such a contingency could occur following *any* kind of speech by *any* kind of speaker, not necessarily one talking about race. I was as confident as it was possible to be that my audience on this occasion comprised sane and rational people who would not run out



afterwards and break the law. However, if every speaker – on whatever subject – had to allow for the odd exception to this rule, nothing strong on any subject could ever be said on a platform anywhere. Free speech would come to an end.

The sergeant quizzed me on my words about Tory leader Michael Howard (indeed the main thrust of my Burnley speech was in the way of a reply to a speech by Howard in the same town a short time before). Howard had come to Burnley specifically to attack the BNP, and I had taken it upon myself to counter-attack.

I was asked whether my reference to Howard as 'Hecht' (his family's real name) had undertones of racial incitement. I replied that I just had a personal dislike of people who unnecessarily changed their names. Whilst such a practice could be excused in the case of someone on a witness protection programme, it was generally unacceptable. What, the interviewer asked, if the person in question was merely trying to integrate himself better with the host community? Quite the contrary, I replied: I saw it as merely that person's attempt to assume a disguise, to pretend he was something he was not. At that point the good Sergeant – actually quite an agreeable fellow – evidently decided that this line of questioning had become exhausted.

## ASIANS AND THE RIOTS

Attention was drawn to a reference in my speech to the Burnley riots of 2001 being the work of the local Asians, not the Whites. Surely this was 'racist'! My reply was that I was simply stating a very self-evident fact. Was I referring to *all* the local Asians? No, only some of them, I said. But, the questioner retorted, I had referred to "the Asians." That implicated the whole community. Surely it is recognised, I replied, that every speaker is permitted the occasional use of shorthand: if he qualified everything he said with sub-clauses it would not be a speech. Besides, I went on, what about Mr. Howard's speech when he referred to the BNP as "a gang of thugs dressed up as a political party"? Should that be taken to mean that he regarded every single BNP member as a thug? Again, here was a speaker just employing his own particular brand of shorthand. Thereupon the Sergeant again changed the subject.

These are just a few of the points in the questioning that I can recall. No doubt, every little phrase and sentence is being intricately scrutinised by an army of lawyers just as these words are typed into my (temporarily hired) computer, of which more anon. It is a flattering thought, though one mixed with outrage at the public expense involved.

I was eventually released some time

between 10 and 11 p.m. The Sergeant, as was perfectly proper and no more than my due, was about to drive me back to the location in Lancashire where I had been staying. Then just as we were leaving Halifax he received a call on his car phone from his superior, who in hectoring tones (I was within earshot) told him that police resources could not be spared for such a journey and that I should be dumped in Halifax. As it was, I had a friend in nearby Leeds who took the trouble to come over, pick me up and then take me to another friend in Leeds, who was able to put me up for the night. This was just my good fortune: had I had no such friends on call, I would presumably have had to spend the night in one of the police cells and then be let out onto the street in Halifax the next morning, presumably (again) to find my own way, at my own expense, to where I had to go. This would have been back to Lancashire again because I had to pick some personal belongings that had been left at the home of my hosts. As it turned out, one of my Leeds friends helped out again – though not at the police's expense, as should have been.

The concern of the Sergeant's superior about the use of police resources was touching from the taxpayer's point of view – when measured against the total cost of the operation aimed against the so-called 'racists', which I am informed has been going on for many months and has involved great numbers of officers of all ranks. Remember, I had not been charged, let alone convicted, of any offence. I was being subjected to a lot of damned inconvenience over the Sunday and Monday; and yet it was apparently too costly and too much trouble for the police to return me to where I had been picked up hours earlier. Were I a suspected illegal immigrant, I would almost certainly have been treated better!

The one bright feature of this affair was the comradely concern for my welfare shown by my friends in the North of England and elsewhere, some of whom put themselves to considerable trouble to help me in various ways. Let me take this opportunity to thank them.

## RAID ON HOME

While all this was going on, more than 200 miles away another scene of the drama was being enacted. Simultaneously to my arrest up north, a police raid was taking place at my home in Hove, on the Sussex coast. In the early evening seven – yes, *seven!* – officers appeared at our door. From my wife's later account, two were youngish WPCs from the local station. The other five – all male – had come down from Yorkshire, where all this massive operation is centred. I understand that female officers are mandatory when there are women (two

in this case and one of very senior years) in the house. The one appearing to lead the raid was quite polite and not unfriendly, as was one of his colleagues. The other three, resembling 'terminator' types in physique and manner, just glowered and looked threatening but did not appear to do very much. The operation could easily have been carried out with half the manpower; but perhaps intimidation of two women is part of the establishment's 'anti-racist' policy – a régime which seems powerless to deal with murder, rape and robbery just showing that it can act 'tough' when the occasion demands!

The police took away my computer and several floppy disks and CDs. I cannot for the life of me see what evidence of wrongdoing these could have provided. Did they expect to find documentation relating to planned bomb outrages or assassinations? The arrest and investigation were occasioned by a speech I had made. The evidence of the speech was on the video I had been shown back in Halifax. What more was needed?

Whilst the items seized were totally irrelevant to this matter, their seizure has most certainly put me to considerable inconvenience. Since the items are likely to be in police custody for several months, I have had to order a new computer, and in the meantime hire one while awaiting its delivery. I somehow think that if I send the bill to West Yorkshire police it will be ignored (though, remember again, I have not yet been charged or convicted over anything).

## LEANING ON DISSIDENTS

No doubt, all this disruption of my and others' work schedule was part of the intention of the powers that be. If they do not get convictions against me or anyone else in the BNP, they will give us no small hassle; they will (they hope) earn a few brownie points with the 'ethnic' lobbies; and they will demonstrate their firm commitment to the upholding of law and order! In this way do the pygmies of politics, who have almost totally lost any real grip of the country, puff themselves up to quite ludicrous proportions and show everyone that they are 'doing' something. Also, of course, an objective is to strike new fear into anyone who might wish to question the doctrines of the multi-racial New Order.

I now understand that similar actions were taken against others connected with the BNP, including the party's chairman. We must now await developments with regard to any possible charges.

So ended a couple of days in the life of New Labour's Soviet Republic. Now, as you will see, snoops are employed by the régime to infiltrate meetings of dissidents.

*Contd. overleaf*



## A DAY IN NEW LABOUR'S SOVIET REPUBLIC

(Contd. from prev. page)

These snoops are equipped with hidden video cameras and sound-recording gear so that they can take down any politically subversive utterances by those present. After the secret police and their hired lawyers have studied the 'evidence' and considered the gravity of the crimes, arrests are made of the allegedly guilty persons

while their homes are raided and necessary work items are confiscated for as long as the régime pleases. We are perhaps not yet at the stage of hard labour in the Arctic Circle for those judged to have transgressed, but we are well on the way there, and the principles at stake are essentially the same.

In the meantime, newspaper writers and broadcasters daily serenade us with soothing words about how lucky we are to live in a land of 'freedom' and of how necessary it is

for our wonderful 'democracy' to be safeguarded!

Perhaps the last word should be granted to the leader writer of *The Daily Telegraph*, who on the 15th December commented:-

"The police say that, since July, a team of officers has been working 10 hours a day, five days a week, watching videos handed to them by the BBC. Is there so little crime in West Yorkshire that they have nothing better to do with their time?"

# ENEMY FINGER IN THE PIE

AS can be seen from the report on page 4 of this issue, last month I was expelled from the British National Party for the second time in 16 months. The charges were as ludicrous this time as they were the previous time. Then I took legal action to obtain reinstatement, and I was successful: to avoid the matter going to court and very big costs being incurred, party chairman Nick Griffin agreed to an out-of-court settlement whereby the expulsion was annulled and my membership restored. As it was, the action was expensive: due to a procedural error by my solicitors in notifying Mr. Griffin of the application for judicial review of the case, the judge hearing the costs application ruled that each party to the dispute pay its own costs. A total bill of over £12,000 resulted from this fiasco, which was split roughly equally. The cause of Nationalism in Britain, never abounding in affluence, was that much the poorer for Mr. Griffin's folly.

Just what the costs will amount to in the new action which I have been forced to institute over this latest expulsion remains to be seen, but the affair will not be dealt with cheaply. No one regrets more than I do the fact that loyal Nationalists will again be asked to dig into their pockets to pay, but the dispute has not been of my making. I never had Mr. Griffin expelled; he has had me expelled – twice!

A study of the history of Nick Griffin's involvement in nationalist politics indicates that he gets rather a kick out of expelling people – or, if not that, proscribing them – which amounts to almost the same thing. In our October 2003 issue we took a look at a document titled *Attempted Murder*, of which Mr. Griffin was the main author. This chronicled the internal quarrels that convulsed the National Front in 1986, in which he (Griffin) stood right at the centre. As a sample of paranoia it takes some beating; and it should be studied by everyone who wants to arrive at some understanding of the troubles now besetting the BNP. *Attempted Murder* can be

obtained online at <[aryanunity.com/attempted\\_murder.html](http://aryanunity.com/attempted_murder.html)>

But this would only touch at the surface of these troubles. There is much more that is

suburbs. Just what is happening?

I will endeavour to give my own up-to-date 'take' on the situation. It is one which necessarily requires a certain amount of

***Is the BNP infiltrated by hostile agents? And do these have an input into top-level decisions?***  
**JOHN TYNDALL asks some pertinent questions.**

needed to explain what is now going on in our party than the personality of Chairman Nick. We need to step back for a moment and focus on the bigger picture. This is important because, from the many letters and e-mails that I receive from nationalists around the country, I sense that an awful lot of people are utterly confused. The political climate in Britain is now more favourable to us than it has ever been. Despite the disappointments of last June's round of elections, both European and municipal, we are still getting some hugely encouraging votes. We should be on the crest of a wave of high morale and optimism, with our ranks united and our tails up. Yet the BNP is racked with internal division and widespread demoralisation – a truth which is only superficially concealed by the upbeat 'spin' that comes from official publications and bulletins.

### Suspensions and expulsions

Right now, one of the party's best organisers in the South of England is under suspension, with his branch virtually in a state of limbo – only a probable five months from a vital general election. Another excellent organiser, in the East Midlands, has just been expelled (welcome to the club!). A leading activist in the London area only reported to me just before Christmas the alarming state of dissatisfaction among members throughout the capital and its

repetition, over which I hope readers will bear with me. The repetition must begin with some words quoted in these pages in our March 1999 issue. They come from a report in *The Express* newspaper published on 18th February of that year, and they read:-

"Scotland Yard and MI5 are planning a huge covert operation to break up violent racist organisations. *The Express* has learned that intelligence officers will infiltrate far Right groups such as the British National Party.

"Other officers will tap telephones, open mail and scrutinise bank accounts and medical records. 'We plan to close down these organizations by using every administrative device available to us,' said a Yard source."

I believe that we must constantly keep these words in the forefront of our minds if we are to make sense of what has been happening to our party in the near six years that have followed. And it is perhaps the right place and moment for a further quote:-

"More recently, as the National Front declined to a mere rump, the British National Party (BNP) has been seen as more dangerous. By the early 1990s MI5 had successfully recruited or turned several agents inside the BNP."

These words come from a book *Defending the Realm*, by Mark Hollingsworth and Nick Fielding, published by Andre Deutsch in 1999. I have no way of ascertaining the



sources of the writers' information, but I have to presume that they carried out considerable research into the workings of MI5, the Establishment's main internal security service, and would have had no reason to invent their claim. They are almost certainly no friends of the BNP and would not have made it to do us any favours.

This aside, the claim gives all the appearance of fitting logically into the picture of what has been happening in the party. Taken in conjunction with the words of the Scotland Yard spokesman reported in *The Express* newspaper, they present a scenario that should at once sound loud warning bells and enlighten us as to what our enemies are doing. For myself, I can see no rhyme or reason in the conflicts we have had in the BNP without such forces at work – and this applies not only to our party but to other nationalist organisations, past and present, where similar internal trouble has been constantly visible. In one case, in the National Front in the 1970s, I was able to observe the same pattern: recurring internecine quarrels and splits, which seemed to break out, not when there was organisational and political failure, but at the moments of greatest success, when in theory morale should have been high and unity at its strongest.

### Internal subversion

These experiences of years ago led me to give a good deal of study and thought to the question of internal subversion of dissident political groups carried out by the state and other hostile agencies. The phenomenon is not new, and it is not confined to Britain; in fact it has been a recognised technique of political warfare for centuries. Neither should we imagine that it targets only our side of the political spectrum. The writers of *Defending the Realm* affirm that it is practised against radical left-wing organisations, most notably of all the IRA but also cranky fringe groups like the Socialist Workers' Party.

The infiltrator is an animal of which most nationalists are aware, but many have only the sketchiest idea of his chief function. There is often talk of 'enemy plants' working to get inside information, but where this occurs it is only for the purpose of that information being used in much more destructive designs. At the end of the day, nationalist political parties like the BNP are absolutely legal: unlike terrorist groups, they have nothing to hide. Not a single one of their activities is secret. The infiltrator, whether state or other, can obtain no information about these activities that his controllers do not already know about because of their completely open nature.

The principal function of the infiltrator in a nationalist political group that operates

within the law (and nearly all do) is to promote *internal sabotage*. This can best be done by encouraging the formation of rival factions, in conflict with one another over matters of leadership or policy. Here there is almost always ready-made fuel for the saboteur.

Radical organisations tend by their



OTTO STRASSER

**His doctrines have been used to lead Nationalists up ideological blind alleys and split them into factions.**

nature to be fractious. They attract individuals with strong opinions, sometimes amounting to obsessions. This fractiousness is most common on the left, where ideological arguments that would appear to us ridiculously nit-picking in their proportions can become the subject of passionate and raging quarrels. On our side of politics conflicts of this kind are less common, though far from unknown. In the early to mid-1980s a group gained ascendancy in the National Front which pushed hard and persistently for the adoption of what came to be known as 'Strasserite' policies. This appellation was taken from a rebel faction in Hitler's National Socialist Party in Germany led by the brothers Otto and Gregor Strasser, which sought to combine a kind of civic Nationalism with social and economic doctrines that were little short of Bolshevik. At the time all this was happening, I and people of like mind to me had parted company with the official Front over matters in no way connected with it, but we were still hoping for the fractured marriage to be repaired and the party united again. I noted that those most vociferous in their advocacy of the 'Strasser' line of thought were the most obdurately resistant to such a reuni-

fication, resorting to ideological pettifoggery as an excuse for their self-entrenchment rather than focusing on the bigger picture. At the time I thought of them, politically speaking, as immature schoolboys who had a lot of growing up to do: their political ideas were shallow, sloppily thought out and very easy to demolish in debate. What I did not consider seriously enough then – though I did later – was that there could be some method in their evident silliness, and that 'Strasserite' politics could well have been some skilfully conceived wedge driven into the remnants of the former NF in order to ensure its continued division.

### Unnecessary divide

However, a much more obvious and easily available pretext for division within nationalism has always been the disagreement between what, for simplification, we might call the 'hard-liners' and the 'modernisers' within the movement. I have always regarded this conflict as grossly exaggerated in substance and wholly unnecessary when measured beside the strategic imperatives confronting us.

In all politics there is the ever-present debate as to ways and means: whether to present objectives in strident, uncompromising tones or to employ the 'soft sell', the soothing, moderately worded approach that will encounter the fewest objectors. To a great extent, divisions over these matters are rooted in the differing personal characters of those who argue them. There are those of the born warrior outlook, who will tend naturally towards the fighting approach which brooks no compromise; and there are the natural conciliators, who will forever be seeking gentler methods because their temperaments can conceive no other way.

I have long believed that the course of practical politics demands a fusion of the two instincts: that of the fighter and that of the diplomat, wisdom lying in recognising the moments and situations in which one or the other is called for, and deciding accordingly. A movement with an excess of warrior qualities over the qualities of the conciliator will rush blindly into political action that is often ill-conceived and self-destructive, while one in which these attributes exist in reverse measure will atrophy and wither on the vine because of a shortage of courage, motivation and will.

When all is said and done, I believe that it has to be the code and approach of the fighter that must prevail over that of the conciliator; but the fighter must be one with the discernment to accept the need for conciliatory methods when the situation calls for them. Here we who like to think of ourselves as fighters must be aware of instincts within us which sometimes need curbing, and to curb

Contd. overleaf



## ENEMY FINGER IN THE PIE

(Contd. from prev. page)

them when required. Here we have the fusion that makes for the soldier-politician, of whom Caesar, Napoleon and Marlborough were outstanding examples.

On the other hand, human nature being what it is, it is only rarely that life's born conciliators can overcome their innermost instincts and face a real fight when fighting is the only option.

And as with individuals, it is the same with ideologies, which tend to conform to individual bent. An ideology of firmness and strength should be able to incorporate the gentler virtues and practise them when needs demand. But an ideology rooted in weakness can never summon firmness and strength that simply are not there.

### Recipe for splits

This is a bit of a diversion but, I hope, a useful one in identifying potential sources of conflict in a political movement. Between people of goodwill there is reasoned thought and discussion over the respective tactical viewpoints; but to the would-be wrecker these viewpoints, instead of being reconciled in synthesis, present a perfect recipe for internal quarrels resulting in factions and splits.

Again and again, I have seen this happen in nationalist organisations; and again and again I have come to the conclusion that somewhere, in each case, there is an external agency stoking the fires of conflict where common sense, and a focus on the greater common good, could have avoided it. I believe that just such an external agency – indeed more than just one – has been present in the divisions which over recent years have convulsed the British National Party.

It is at this point that we should focus on a third type that is to be found in organisations. This type has instincts neither towards the 'hard-line' nor 'soft-line' approach but is in the struggle for essentially egotistical reasons – and sometimes also mercenary ones. To this type, arguments about 'hard-line' or 'soft-line' politics have only one utility and one criterion: do they advance or retard his own personal ambitions? He can be at one moment the hard-line fundamentalist and the very next moment the soft-line 'moderniser' according to tactical requirements – the tactical requirements always being not those of the party but purely his own.

This type, again, is putty in the hands of the would-be wrecker. His ego and ambition can be so easily exploited by cunning manipulation which sets him against others with whom he should be working in dedication to a common cause. Knowingly or not, he helps the party's enemies admirably. I have seen the type surface constantly.

## Raj on Britain

### The thoughts of Rajinder Singh

**IT IS not right for the Prime Minister's wife to be phoning officials on behalf of any friend.**

Cherie Blair is not an ordinary housewife. She is a barrister and a QC. I believe her to be considerably more legally astute than any of the previous wives of the Prime Ministers of this country.

The Kurds should have been sent to Turkey, our

NATO ally that aspires to join the EU, and the Afghans ought to have been put on the plane back to Kabul, to assure the government there that we in the UK think



### THE 'RAJ' COLUMN

**This was introduced into the BNP paper *The Voice of Freedom* as a gimmick to demonstrate that the party was 'not racist'. It fooled no enemies and only alienated supporters. Was the latter its purpose?**

### Mysterious new arrivals

Many of us noticed that shortly before or shortly after the leadership change that took place in the BNP in 1999 a number of new figures emerged in the party, of whom little or nothing had been known previously; and many of these graduated quickly to senior positions. Where were they coming from? What was their motivation? Were they with us to help or hinder?

Absolutely certain answers to these questions cannot be supplied, but it was noticeable that virtually all of these people aligned themselves decisively with the so-called 'modernising' faction in the party which had gained the ascendancy through the leadership change.

In what limited contact I had with these people one thing struck me vividly. Their arrogance and conviction in the rightness of their attitudes was astounding. Most of them were young enough to be my children, and some even my grandchildren. Their practical experience of the nationalist struggle was at no more than apprentice level. Yet they spoke to me about political ideology and tactics as if they were experienced achievers with battle honours under their belts and I a young lad just out of school. Just where had they learned all this stuff? At an MI5 training college perhaps? Or were they just wired up that way? One of these explanations is not necessarily exclusive of the other.

In previous articles I have focused on the various policy and presentational gimmicks that have been employed, allegedly with the object of making the BNP more 'electable': a Sikh newspaper columnist; a Jewish candidate (and later councillor); a Asian spokesman on a TV party political broad-

cast; declarations that the party would be satisfied with the permanent presence of ethnic minorities in Britain, providing there were not too many of them. I could go on.

I have never believed that these innovations made more than 0.01 per cent difference either to our election results or to our recruitment. On the other hand, they have been hugely divisive to the party internally, with large numbers of members, including some of our best activists, quitting it in disgust. Is this just folly – the lack of intelligent political calculation of gain and loss? Or is it deliberate – a quite cynical manoeuvre aimed at alienating the genuine nationalists within and without and turning the BNP into nothing better than a neutered Tory pressure group? I am in no doubt myself as to the answer to these questions. I hope that what is written here will lead others to think about them seriously. Let us remember the words of the 'Yard source' back in 1999. "We plan to close down these organisations..." One way to close down an organisation is to divide it into fragments which, separately, exert almost zero influence in national politics. This was what happened to the National Front at the end of the 1970s. Is it the strategy now being pursued with regard to the BNP? There is a great deal of evidence – albeit admittedly circumstantial – that it is.

I have spoken earlier of the sacking and suspension of excellent organisers and branches. If this is not intended as a deliberate act of sabotage of the party, it most certainly is operating to that effect. The pretext for this orgy of purges is the need to maintain internal party discipline. Well, there is no one more firmly committed to the



principle of internal party discipline than I. But in an organisation of volunteers – very different from a branch of the armed forces – discipline cannot be imposed by bullying and coercion; it must be maintained with prudence, and it must begin with its ultimate arbiter – the top party leadership – winning respect and being seen to apply it disinterestedly and with a view solely to the party's welfare. This simply has not been happening in the BNP. Certain people have been 'chopped' on purported disciplinary grounds, while others much more deserving of disciplinary action have been allowed to get away with almost anything they like – providing they show loyalty and willing subservience to the people currently in control. This is not a recipe for discipline and order in the party; it is one for self-destruction.

### Financial gravy train

It is the time now to take another look at the BNP's quite ludicrously inflated wage bill. I have asked the questions before: Who is being paid and how much? And whence is coming the money to keep this gravy train on the rails? The people bidding to take over the party in 1999 made one of their main campaigning issues a demand for transparency and accountability in the handling of party finances. Yet these present questions continue to be shrouded in secrecy. Why?

I would suggest that the overriding reason for the payments that are being doled

out to so many party functionaries is that they are intended to keep them subservient and acquiescent in the numerous outrageous policy decisions that have been made over the past few years, a few examples of which I have highlighted. In any other circumstances there would have been a palace revolt at the top of the party, with numerous senior officers simply not being willing to accept what has been going on. Yet there has been an almost indecent compliance. Could it be that when promptings of rebellion stir in the inner conscience a self-reminder about bread-and-butter dependency stifles the urge. Thoughts about the mortgage or instalments on the motor car act as a brake on protest.

I would strongly urge those in receipt of these emoluments to examine their consciences again. Can they reconcile their positions with personal honour and self-respect? Can they with sincerity condemn the 'bought' politicians of the established parties when they have their feet planted on the very same path?

And I ask again: where is the money coming from? I remind those in control of their previous clamour for transparency. Where is the transparency here?

### No to new party!

As I write these words, many still urge me to take the lead in forming a new party. As has happened in the past, I refuse to take that step. All previous experience counsels

against it. Indeed I am convinced that it has been the intention and hope that I would launch and lead a breakaway movement from the BNP that explains so much of what has been happening – both to the party itself and to me personally. But I simply do not intend to play these people's game. The name of the game is divide-and-conquer. It made Nationalism in Britain impotent for so many years. It is the hope and prayer of those who seek to keep things this way.

And just as I would be playing our enemies' game by consenting to the setting up of a breakaway party, so also are those who on grounds of principle and protest have let their party subscriptions lapse, and have thus disenfranchised themselves with regard to action for internal change. If you aren't a member you can't vote. And if you can't vote you're going to leave things as they are. Here I risk offending some of my staunchest friends and allies by saying that this kind of abstention boils down to a form of self-indulgence. It is precisely what is wanted by the people who are steering the BNP – whether by intention or under manipulative forces of which they have no knowledge – to self-destruction. You may not like sending these people money at renewal time. Nor do I. But it is an utterly necessary procedure if the BNP is to be saved.

With these thoughts I wish a happy new year to all those genuinely devoted to the cause of race and nation.

# First-class meeting at Lincoln

November 27th saw Lincoln hold its first nationalist meeting for a year. Set at a beautiful venue in the Lincolnshire countryside just outside the city, it was attended by nearly 50 nationalists from the BNP, NF and other groups.

The meeting was chaired by Mick Holmes, who had been the BNP Lincolnshire Organiser until he became the next victim to be used and then expelled without a thought by the current BNP leadership. Mick had had his membership terminated over a couple of minor matters but everyone knew that the real reason was that he had chosen to arrange a meeting where John Tyndall would be speaking. It was this that necessitated the meeting not being made an official BNP event.

Mick opened the meeting with a speech about the current lack of leadership and direction in the BNP, and the background to his expulsion.

Next to speak was Peter Rushton, who described at length the current style of hypocritical tyranny with which Nick Griffin is running the BNP, giving many examples of the megalomaniacal behaviour and general downright slipperiness of Nick Griffin. It was interesting to hear how Nick seemed to set a pattern of destroying any organisation he

has got his hands on, and also how he changes his principles and allegiances like a chameleon. Peter made a good point when he asked just how long Nick could carry on expelling anybody in the party who didn't agree with him, and why Griffin couldn't cope with differences of opinion in his party whilst this is the norm in all political parties. Many thanks to Peter Rushton for travelling in to speak at short notice.

The finale was John Tyndall's speech, which covered all the country's ills since



Lincoln: Tyndall speaks

World War Two. He dealt with the current state of the nation, and in particular the hypocrisy and sickness of its current leaders in sending its boys out to fight and die in Iraq, whilst allowing an alien invasion at home! He suggested these troops would be better placed on our borders for added security. In closing, he made it clear to all that with the current immigration/asylum cancer this country is suffering, the BNP has never been better placed to gain public support, and so there is no need whatsoever to have a Sikh in one of our party political broadcasts, no need to have non-European election candidates and definitely no reason to have non-Whites in the party. Ordinary White Britons are with us and just waiting for us to give them a lead.

It was fantastic to see nationalists as one, agreeing as one, and planning to go forwards as one.

The turnout was most impressive for a part of the country not normally known for BNP strength, and was a credit to Mick Holmes, who has done great work in building up Lincolnshire BNP and had organised and promoted the meeting in the face of constant obstruction from party headquarters, which, mostly in vain, had pressured BNP members not to attend.



# One man's terrorist...

*Every day of war is a dead day as well as a death day. More death, more future servitude, less and less of American liberty of any variety. (Ezra Pound)*

**PERCEPTION** is all – or almost all. Perception can be shaped and moulded through conditioning by the mass media, which unkind folk might prefer to call 'brainwashing'. We, playing 'follow my leader' to the Americans, are now part of what is termed a "War against Terror." While involved in this war, we can afford to send forth large convoys of vehicles to some remote desert at the same time as NHS dentistry crumbles at home and water bills rise to extortionate levels. If these trends continue, maybe we can look forward to seeing an 'élite' of Mandelson clones in smart suits lording it over grubby, toothless proles!

But this not the first 'War on Terror'. Sixty years ago, Germany and the other Axis powers were convinced that *they* were fighting a war against terror.

The French Resistance were termed 'terrorists': even RAF Bomber Command pilots were referred to as *terrorflieger*. The Soviet partisans were seen as terrorists who had slain several hundred thousand German soldiers. Looming over all this was the perceived Judaic role in Communism, which had almost annihilated the old, predominately Germanic, ruling élite in Russia. According to Alexander Solzhenitsyn, the chief concentration camp boss supervising this slaughter was Naftaly Frenkel, a Turkish Jew.

Indeed, the association of certain highly placed Jews with Communism wasn't just a perception of the Germans, but a reality noted by many different commentators. A novel such as John Buchan's *The Thirty Nine Steps* used that fact as a plot background. Eric Hobsbawm recorded in one of his histories that the small Egyptian Communist Party had an almost wholly Jewish membership. Writing in the 1950s, *Daily Telegraph* foreign correspondent Lovat F. Edwards commented that Communism had very little appeal to Arabs because they were inclined to equate it with 'Judaisation'.

Today the US régime goes to war,

***Our picture of what constitute 'atrocities', says IAN BUCKLEY, depends entirely on how things are reported in the mass media***

and justifies slaughter and destruction on a massive scale by a perceived 'offence', but are its reasons less convincing than those of the Germans in World War II? I have written myself on the many anomalies surrounding the September 11th attacks (see *Spearhead*, No 423). From the five dancing Israelis filming the collapsing Twin Towers to a crashing aircraft at the Pentagon that leaves no debris or lawn damage, almost nothing makes sense if

Beyond this, there are no connections between Al Qaeda and Iraq, and never were outside the fevered minds of Perle and Co. In fact, recommended reading for everyone should be *How the US helped create a monster*, by John Clarke, which appeared a few years ago in the *Irish Examiner*.

Yet this flimsy excuse has been used to wreak a trail of havoc and destruction. At Fallujah, US forces bombed hospitals, cut off water supplies to

**ATTACK ON FALLUJAH**  
US forces bombed hospitals and killed old folk, women and children. But this was an 'anti-terrorist' operation!



we follow the official story. Indeed, the wackiest conspiracy theory of all now seems to be that it was all planned and perpetrated by a man with a beard and turban stuck in a fortified Afghan cave.

## **NO TOP AMERICANS KILLED**

Then again, while Germans such as Ernst von Rath and Wilhelm Gustloff fell to the bullets of 'Jewish extremist' terrorists, no American politicians or officials have been shot down by 'Islamic extremist' gunmen from the possibly semi-mythic Al Qaeda. We are also expected to surrender our ancient liberties in favour of ID cards and iris scans, when Al Qaeda has caused no injuries or damage in this country whatever. This is in stark contrast to the IRA, or even the Irgun, who blew up Rex Farran and injured three postmen in Britain during 1946.

civilians and used napalm bombs. Like their Israeli twins, snipers have targeted not only insurgents but also old men, women and children. Seventeen doctors and nurses were even gunned down as they attempted to cross the Euphrates to aid the wounded. Civilians have been shot as they attempted to wave white sheets to surrender. The entire countryside has been poisoned by depleted uranium shells. Germans were hanged or given long prison sentences after World War II, often for doing much, much less than has been perpetrated in Iraq over the past year or two.

But the neo-con journalist Ralph Peters, writing in the *New York Post* took a sanguine view of the Fallujah slaughter, saying that:-

"We don't need more complaints about our treatment of prisoners from the global forces of appeasement.



We need terrorists dead in the dust.  
And the world needs to see their  
corpses...

"Even if Fallujah has to go the way of  
Carthage, reduced to shards, the  
price will be worth it. We need to  
demonstrate our strength of will to  
the world, to show that there is only  
one possible result when madmen  
take on America."

Incidentally, I've read through quite a  
number of articles in the German war-  
time magazine *Signal* and never  
managed to find anything remotely as  
bloodthirsty as this *NYP* piece. Has the  
USA reverted to the thinking behind the  
Book of Joshua and the injunction to  
"destroy everything that breathes"?

What's happened in Iraq since the  
fall of Saddam has been simply disgus-  
ting – more or less the murder of an  
entire society.

## THE MAN RESPONSIBLE

The article *Apocalypse Now?*2, by  
Jonathan Mark, published in *The Jewish  
Week*, had some remarkable things to  
say about the man with ultimate respon-  
sibility for prosecuting the so-called 'War  
against Terror'. Although – at times –

coming over a lot like an 'anti- Semitic'  
parody, the essay was worthy of note for  
revealing the thinking of some leading  
lights of the Lubavitch movement.  
Before you read the quote, it should be  
explained that the word 'Ishmael'  
symbolises the Arab and Muslim  
peoples, just as 'Esau' indicates  
Christians and Europeans. It goes:-

"We usually think our ultimate enemy  
is Esau,' says Rabbi Butman, of the  
metaphorical representative of  
Christianity. 'Here, we see it is Ish-  
mael. Esau – the president and the  
Christian right – support us and Ish-  
mael is the culprit we're going to  
break now.'

"Jewish religious conservatives aren't  
nervous; they're delighted. Radio talk  
show host, Dennis Prager, writing for  
*Jewish World Review*, an Orthodox  
online magazine, says, 'To the extent  
that one is ever able to see the hand  
of God in history... I believe that  
either divine intervention or good luck  
on the magnitude of a lottery win  
explains George W. Bush's rise to  
the position of president.' Bush is  
'remarkable,' Rabbi Fund tells *The  
Jewish Week*, 'the ultimate Shabbas

Goy'."3

There you have it: the 'ultimate  
Shabbas Goy' has presided over a  
holo- caust of some 100,000 Iraqis, plus  
over 1,000 of his own troops.

Yet finally it all comes down, as it  
usually does, to money, power and  
(dis)information. Neither the BBC or  
NBC will never reveal the truth, so the  
misinformed and easily deceived can  
still go on believing in a nice clean 'War  
against Terror', against all those evil  
men who hate our freedoms, blah  
blah... There could be endless TV docu-  
mentaries on the expulsion of the  
Palestinians, or memorials and  
museums of the Iraqi Holocaust, but  
there are none.

**It is also just a matter of percep-  
tion: the perception of what is a 'War  
against Terror', and what is a  
'Holocaust'.**

1. [http://archives.tcm.ie/irishexaminer/2001/  
09/18/story12972.asp](http://archives.tcm.ie/irishexaminer/2001/09/18/story12972.asp)

2. [http://www.thejewishweek.com/news/  
content.php3?artid=7577](http://www.thejewishweek.com/news/content.php3?artid=7577)

3. A *Shabbas Goy* is, like Bush or Blair, a  
Gentile who aids Zionist interests.

# MADHOUSE BRITAIN

A SUSPECTED Afghan warlord arrested in  
Streatham, South London, must stand trial  
for a second time at the Old Bailey, leaving  
the British taxpayer with a bill of £5 million.

A jury failed to reach a verdict against  
Faryadi Zardad, who is accused of torture in  
Afghanistan.

The retrial is unlikely to start until next  
summer because bad weather conditions in  
the Afghan hills mean that it will be very  
difficult to contact many of the Crown's  
witnesses. Diplomats must then ensure that  
they arrive at the British Embassy in Kabul  
and give evidence by means of a live video  
link. This is the first trial in British legal  
history concerning torture and kidnapping to  
be heard outside the country where they are  
alleged to have taken place. Just how any of  
this is Britain's business is not explained.

\* \* \*

CONCERNED about the image of his officers in  
the Hampshire Police, Chief Constable Paul  
Kernaghan introduced a new dress code which  
includes the banning of policemen from wearing  
earrings on duty. But this clampdown has  
prompted homosexual officers to protest that it  
amounts to 'anti-gay' discrimination.

Now Mr. Kernaghan has been warned that he  
could face a legal challenge. Lee Hunt, a Tory  
councillor in Southsea who lobbies for queers,  
says the Kernaghan policy is "a backward step."

\* \* \*

AN uninsured driver who knocked down a  
schoolgirl and left her for dead has been fined  
just £70 by magistrates.

Ian Beasley, who has previous convictions for  
drink-driving and burglary, drove off after hitting  
13-year-old Jodie Duffin in a street in Tooting,  
South London, leaving her screaming in agony.  
She has since made a remarkable recovery –  
though no thanks to him. The girl's mother,  
Mandy Duffin, said the sentence was "scandal-  
ous." Apparently, the court decided that sending  
Beasley to prison again would have no effect!

\* \* \*

TWO men in Stamford Bridge, near York,  
chased a car thief and arrested him. Then  
what happened? Yes, you've guessed it! The  
thief was let go by the police and the two  
pursuers, businessman John Sellers and  
farmer Gary Kay, were apprehended and  
interrogated for 20 hours, then bailed on  
suspicion of assault. Both men must answer  
the bail this month and could face six months  
in jail if charged and convicted.

\* \* \*

AN Army captain, Warwick Strong, has been  
refused a British passport because he was born  
in Zimbabwe. His father, Colonel Jeremy Strong,  
had been born in what was then Rhodesia at a  
time when people in that country were British  
subjects. Also in the British Army, he was given  
frequent overseas postings which resulted in his  
seldom being in the UK. His grandfather,  
Colonel Cecil Strong, served in the same Army  
for 32 years and was awarded the MC and OBE.

\* \* \*

POLICE chiefs are so scared of being labelled  
'racist' that they are making life hell for white  
officers, a report has recently revealed.

The report says that Scotland Yard bosses go  
out of their way to discriminate against white  
cops to avoid claims of bias against ethnic  
minorities.

Ex-TUC chief Bill Morris (himself black) has  
said in the report that: "Some efforts to promote  
the message of diversity have been counter-pro-  
ductive and the organisation may now be seeing  
the beginnings of a backlash. This would be  
catastrophic."

It was also revealed last month that many  
police officers are now leaving the force to take  
police jobs in Australia, despite the fact that  
police pay there is considerably lower than in the  
UK.

\* \* \*

A MAN in North Curry, in Somerset, has  
been ordered to pull down a wendy house he  
had built in his garden for his kids. The  
reason? It breaks planning laws.

But just around the corner from where the  
dad, Justin Pipe, and his family live 16 gipsy  
families have established an illegal campsite.  
Nothing has been done by local Taunton  
Deane Council to have it removed.

\* \* \*

CHILDREN as young as 13 are now being en-  
couraged in a government-funded booklet to  
experiment with 'safe-sex' activities, it was  
revealed last month.

The booklet, published by the publicly subsid-  
ised Brook Advisory Centres, has given this  
advice under the pretext that it is to reduce the  
risk of the kids contracting sexually transmitted  
diseases.

But the material, which has sparked fury  
among family campaigners, contains an explicit  
list of 14 'alternative' sexual acts.



# A WAR OF WORDS

ON September 6, 1967 in the *MacLean's Review* magazine the Communist Abraham Feinberg wrote:-

"Until we learn to fight our ingrown fears of sexual relations between the races the end of the race problem will not be in sight. Only when we no longer raise our eyebrows at the sight of a Negro holding hands with a White girl will the West have begun to tear the racial poison from its vitals. Such a change will involve the inside-out remodelling of the White psyche, for our fear of mixed marriages is deeply rooted. But the change must begin sometime; why not now? If anything, the law should encourage, not forbid, the intermingling of bloods... one world, one race... the deliberate encouragement of interracial marriage is the only way to hasten this process. The dominance of our world has begun to shift, like cargo in a listing vessel, from the White race to the Coloured."

In 1922 the Communist Leader Zelenkov stated in Moscow:-

"We must create a climate of anti-nationalism and anti-racialism amongst Whites. We must reduce patriotism and pride of race to meaningless abstractions and make racialism a dirty word... world government in four generations."

This has all been done so successfully that the white race of peoples now caves in, buckles under and is forced into silence by the use of the fraudulent construct 'racist' - a deceit which, as a consequence of its impact upon White Western European civilization, must be regarded as one of the greatest frauds in history.

This propaganda from the past, now the accepted wisdom in 'liberal' societies, completely dismisses the importance of white racial self-preservation, while elevating to much higher importance the notion that "six million" died in the concentration camps operating in the Second World War.

Another Communist, Israel Cohen, in his book *A Racial Programme for the Twentieth Century*, wrote that the plan should be deliberately to promote the Negro as a means of destroying white society. Yet another, Karl Marx (real name Moses Mordecai Levi), financed by a rich Communist called Engels, revealed the movement's inner plans as early as 1850 in *The Communist Manifesto*, when he wrote of the need for the "abolition of the family, countries and nationalities, together with all religion and all morality." Only the blind cannot see that this is exactly the policy being vigorously promoted and advanced today by our present prostituted Government.

## INVENTED LANGUAGE

The inside-out remodelling of the White psyche is evidenced quite clearly by the use of, among other things, language invented specifically to have us occupy a defensive rather than an attacking position against an evil agenda, an agenda that forces us to be tolerant of those very things which, bit by bit, are being used, deliberately and by stealth, to destroy our very existence.


The agenda, via the intensive use of television, newspapers and the cinema, is to remodel our view of the world via a process called 'reverse psychology'. The politicians and their hidden backers have invented this language and then used

their media friends to aid them in the necessary process of conditioning us into accepting it. To illustrate, a 'phobia' is a legitimate fear of heights or, say, spiders. It is therefore a recognised medical condition. Our enemies have identified this word, hijacked it and corrupted it, as they have with so

**STEVEN SMITH explains  
how the manipulation of  
language has become a key  
weapon of our enemies.**

much of our language, and added homo-, xeno- and Islamo- to it. So now if you don't approve of homosexuality, coloured immigration or Islam you have a psychological disorder! In other words, you are homophobic, xenophobic or an Islamophobe. So you see how our enemies, having now manufactured their arsenal of new words, of which 'phobia' is only a very tiny part, have reversed our whole concept of morality and enabled themselves to adopt an offensive rather than a defensive posture against which the unguarded are very vulnerable.

Our enemies have, in their pursuit of the moral high ground, even had the temerity to hijack God himself! And in



**MARX**

**He called for the abolition of family, countries and nationalities.**



their attempts to legitimise their multi-racialist, globalist credentials they claim that he is one of them, that is to say a "citizen of the world," in the sense that he – to quote – "loves everyone"! However, in *Malachi*, Chapter 1, 3, in the Old Testament it states quite clearly that: "God hated Esau"! So even God, it seems, can hate! So again you see how easy it is to expose their frauds, and in this particular case their lies.

## POWER OUT OF CHAOS

Of course, our enemies know the truth, as we do. Their use of this deviant language is simply a tool to aid them in their agenda of creating the anarchy they need to acquire power over us. This power will quite naturally gravitate towards them from the chaos which they have deliberately created! Essentially then, what we must do is take back the ground which we have ceded to our enemies by recognising these invented and fraudulent expressions, and then beginning the process of dismantling and exposing them along the lines set out above. If this is done properly we should be able to exorcise and cast out their evil and deviant language, thereby re-occupying the moral high ground for ourselves. Only then can we begin to win over the hearts and minds of our kinfolk.

All that having been said, we must also recognise that we are engaging our enemies on territory which is entirely of their own creation. It is a virtual-reality world of invented words, phrases and fake morals; a world over which they have total control; a world where nature's laws have no place; an artificial world where they reign supreme; a world where they

enjoy watching us writhing like salted slugs attempting to grapple with their meaningless and invented verbiage; a world where they delight in watching us consume our valuable time and energy reading and filling in their meaningless and unimportant pieces of paper; a world where we willingly wrap ourselves up in ethnic-minority-dominated, dumbed-down television programmes, deliberately distracted, while they get on with the much more serious business of destroying our race our culture and our children's future.

Fundamental laws of nature are what govern existence on this planet of ours, and integral to those laws is that only the fittest and strongest of the species survive. To win and to beat our enemies we must firstly recognise this simple truth and, secondly, rid ourselves of our fears; for once you are free of this psychological barrier – for that is all fear is – you become liberated, whole, rejuvenated; you become free and unstoppable; and you become able to tap into that deep and vast reservoir of strength and resourcefulness that each and everyone of us has. In so doing, you become just the kind of adversary our enemies fear the most. "Let them hate as long as they fear" is not only the mantra of our enemies; it is also their Achilles heel, and to beat them we simply have to cease to be afraid. **"Might is right; fear is an illusion; the word 'racism' is a fraud; and natural instinct is morally superior to man's reason."** These are all truths our political masters would much prefer to keep hidden away from the masses; for it is knowledge of these truths that will ultimately destroy them.

# Fantastic SG social in Blackburn

Sunday afternoon of the 12th December in Blackburn saw 80-90 white nationalists from various parties but mainly BNP attend a great Christmas social in Blackburn. The *white DJ\** was great, playing a good selection of British popular and Christmas music. Literature stalls were abundant. A good buffet was laid on and the bar did a busy trade (surprise, surprise!). A raffle in support of the SG brought in a good amount with a DVD player as first prize.

Peter Rushton gave a short talk on the current state of British Nationalism and then introduced Eddy Morrison who gave a run down on the rapid growth of the SG. John Tyndall followed, calling for loyalty and comradeship in these difficult times.

Steve Smith, the man who helped make Burnley the big BNP success story it was, then donned Crusader costume and to his assembled troops, playing the part of the archers at Agincourt, gave a tremendous rendition of Henry the Fifth's St. Crispin's Day speech at the famous battlefield. At the end of this stirring performance, the 'army' and the whole room gave a great 'Hurrah!' – Terrific stuff and well done, Steve.

Mark Cotterill, Peter Rushton and Eddy Morrison then got the raffle under way, backed by a second raffle organised by Tyr Services, the proceeds to go to

Jackie Spence, whose husband was murdered in a racial attack. After this the audience broke into teams for the Big Pub Quiz, with loads of nationalist and general knowledge questions run by quizmaster Eddy. There were four winners – the top team being Simon Bennett's, and all winners had the pick of prizes donated by *Heritage and Destiny* and *Heretical Press*.

Mark then held a dutch auction, which raised a good amount. This paid for the room and DJ and left a great donation towards keeping the SG machine going.


This was one of the best socials this White Nationalist has ever attended!

Heartfelt thanks from the Spearhead Group go to John Murphy, Simon Bennett, Mark and especially Sharon (superb buffet!) for making the day possible.

**Report by Eddy Morrison**

*\* At a recent London BNP Christmas social a black disk jockey was engaged over the telephone. Those responsible claim they had no knowledge of his identity until he turned up on the night. He should then have been politely told his services were not required and sent on his way with adequate compensation for expenses, but this was not done and he performed throughout.*

ENJOYING  
THE  
AFTERNOON  
John Tyndall,  
seen here  
(right) with  
Blackburn BNP  
man John  
Murphy





# The Farce of the Year

**WHEN a person fails, in one way or another, any resultant suffering is usually limited to the actual washout and to his or her nearest and dearest. Rarely do the repercussions, the shock waves, travel further than close friends and family. But in politics it is a very different story. Those who would set themselves up as rulers bear a burden of responsibility which they, in the interests of the nation, cannot ignore. Even so, the state of our country is such that it was not David Blunkett's pathetic performance as Education Minister, and later as Home Secretary, which led to his downfall in December. The banana skin turned out to be a sordid little affair with a married woman and the favours she demanded.**

## The moral issue

While it is no longer fashionable to pontificate on the sexual predilections of public figures, we have a ravenous press which feeds hungrily on any grimy story it can lay its hands on. And, let us face it, only a particularly stupid *Don Juan* impregnates his paramour in the first place, and then makes a fuss about paternity afterwards. After all, we run a very expensive Child Support Agency dedicated to chasing blokes who say: "It wasn't me." As it turned out, this rank carelessness on the part of our departed minister was to open a can of worms which might otherwise never have seen the light of day. For Mrs. Quinn there can be no sympathy. Tickled pink with her ability to seduce a high-ranking politician, she clearly omitted to take the sensible precautions available to her, and has only herself to blame. Yes, she was provoked by Blunkett's obstinacy but she showed herself in the worst possible light in the method of her revenge.

## The nanny statement

Simon Jenkins in *The Times* reckons that we need the press wolves to protect us from the poodles appointed by Blair to conduct public enquiries. That makes sense, and it was the dogged pursuit of the scent over just a few days which uncovered the meat in this unhappy story. Unluckily for Blunkett, this form of hunting is still legal. That he gave his lover an expensive train ticket, paid for by us, and that he used his position to fast-track an immigration application for a foreigner is no longer in doubt. The worrying thing for voters is that this was an abuse of power which only in most unusual circumstances came to light. The question they need to ask is: "How much more is there that we don't know, not just in the Home Office but in the whole sprawling machinery of government?" Long gone are the

days when corruption was something to be seen only in foreign parts. In Cecil Chesterton's *History of the United States*, written in 1918, the writer noted that:-

"In all societies, most of those who meddle with the government of men will do so in pursuit of their own interests, and in all societies the professional politician will reveal himself as a somewhat debased type. In a democracy the same sort of man will try to obtain his ends by flattering and cajoling the populace."

## COLIN VERNON discusses the ignominious fall of Home Secretary Blunkett

Are we to be surprised, then, that this same Blunkett has instigated a vendetta against the very people who would rouse the nation against the cant and hypocrisy of New Labour?

### The swan song ...

As living proof that the political mafia has much in common with the other sort, the accused, in this instance, told us that he "did not remember" or "had no recollection of issuing instructions," and went on to explain in some depth that: "Everything I have said in the past few weeks about this application I have believed to be true." The final insult to our intelligence came with "I have always been honest about my recollection of events." And of course when we deliver an eulogy it is always in the hope of getting one in return, so we were told that "In particular, I want to

thank the Prime Minister – my friend and the most outstanding international politician of our age." Obviously this was said by a man too busy with his bedroom antics to have kept himself informed of the wholesale carnage in Iraq.

### ... and the sob story

The expected eulogy duly appeared, and described the delinquent as "a truly outstanding Cabinet Minister as both Home Secretary and Secretary of State for Education." Then it

went on to speak of the fundamental reform of primary schools, increased literacy and numeracy, tough measures introduced to deal with asylum-seekers, and a drive against anti-social behaviour which has helped put respect back on our streets. If this were not enough to send the reader into hysterics, there then followed a peroration of singular beauty and pathos: "You leave government with your integrity intact and your achievements acknowledged by all. You can take great pride in what you have done to improve the lives of people in this country. And that is what we are in politics for."

### A book at bedtime...

All this coincided with the publication of a biography in which Blunkett is said to have made uncomplimentary remarks about Cabinet colleagues. No entertainment is ever complete without the appearance of a fool, a role which the leader of the Opposition fills to perfection. Thus a copy of this book, flung by the pathetic Howard in the general direction of the Government front bench, was later picked up by Labour's chief whip and hurled back at the Tories. Is it possible that we actually pay these people and feed them?

### ... and a song and dance act

In the midst of all these troubles it seems that the Home Secretary had time to appear at some pub function where he gave a passable, if throaty, rendition of screen star Fred Astaire singing: "... when my chin is off the ground, I pick myself up, dust myself off, start all over again." One Labour backbencher concluded from this that Blunkett had become unbalanced.

Possibly! But think how much better off we would all have been if he had made his career on the stage instead of in politics!



**BLUNKETT**  
**Disgraced but still eulogised!**



# ONCE WE WERE WARRIORS

**WE stand at the end of a long line of Aryan warriors. We British have in us the tradition of the Celts of Boudica; the swinging swords of the Angles and Saxons; the battleaxes and swift long ships of the Vikings; the determination and valour of the Normans. We come from a long line of warrior peoples.**

Throughout our history we have been a warrior race. We built the greatest navy the world has ever seen, and we built and maintained the greatest and best-run empire the world has ever seen. We stood in our thin red lines at Waterloo and a hundred other battlefields. We fought for our homes, our families, our land and the future of our island race.

Once we were a warrior people!

What are we today? We stand idly by for the most part whilst aliens run our government. We stand idly by whilst the very fabric of our race is altered and changed forever by mass non-White immigration. We stand idly by whilst the New World Order – run again by those same cosmopolitan Dark Lords of High Finance – converts us into a ‘Euro-pean’ slave state and heads us towards a one-world government. We stand idly by whilst wave after wave of asylum-seekers sweeps over our shores.

We are governed by a sickly race of politicians, creatures who have sold out their hearts and souls to the globalist system, politicians who are not fit to pass laws and run the affairs of a once-proud people. Yet year after year we vote them into power. Year after year we stand meekly by whilst they pass more and more anti-White laws. Alien ways and alien forms of society are replacing our once united and happy British land. We do little or nothing.

Yet once we were a warrior people!

What has happened to the fighting spirit of the British people? Where are the new generations of

White youth – the natural warriors of our folk? They are there all right, but not training, struggling and fighting to free their land from the yoke of a Government so corrupt that it is fair to call it an *enemy* government – a Government fighting against its own people. Yes, the White youth are there – standing around on street corners, pallid and sickly. They tumble from our educational system unlettered, untutored, and often illiterate. They have no racial or national pride and they are easy prey for the foreign drug barons pumping their poison into all levels of our society.

Yet once we were a warrior people!

What once would have been our warrior generations are being lost to drugs, alien sub-cultures of music, art and dance. Our ‘thinkers’ have become empty-headed liberals – the chattering classes, who mouth multi-racial duck-speak and to whom racial pride is worse than paedophilia! These ‘intelligentsia’ are the



**Saxon warriors in a battle re-enactment scene**

worst of the lot, competing with each other to be more politically correct to the point of total insanity.

Those who once were warriors now are brought up in the sickest of very sick societies.

To redeem our people and to build a future for the White generations to come means nothing less than a total, legitimate *revolution* of the White masses, organised to overthrow the hated Westminster system and to haul up the cowardly betrayers masquerading as politicians before British people's courts to answer for their treason.

---

## **EDDY MORRISON sends a new year's wake-up message to the lazy and the apathetic**

---

To redeem our people we must use every effort to recruit, re-educate and train the millions of White young men and women, every means at our disposal to make White Nationalism *the* most attractive cause for our young folk. This means not aping the flabby politicians of the Old Gang but building a dynamic, colourful organisation offering *action* and *challenge* and a chance to face down the enemies of the British people.

Let us take up the flags and symbols of our warrior forefathers and lead our people with the vanguard of White youth – into battle against the hideous, alien ideas and actions of the System!

*EDITOR'S NOTE: Some may feel that this article over-generalises: there are increasing numbers of White people, including many of the young, who are now fighting back against the evils of which the writer speaks, and many of them are in the BNP. However, we are publishing the article because it serves as a desirable bugle-call to the massed ranks of the timid, lazy and apathetic who are still out there, and need to be moved.*



# Death-wish Europe

**THE EUROPEAN UNION is now communist in all but name. Ignore all those books and articles that say that the EU is becoming 'Fascist' or has a 'Nazi' mentality. Whatever the 20th century fascists tried to promote, it was certainly not a mixed-race society.**

If Europe is now a multi-cultural entity of 400 million people, it can only mean that totalitarianism rules, because the people have never given their consent to what has happened to them. Totalitarianism is characterised by a concentration of power at the centre and the imposition of certain ideological 'norms' on whole populations, if necessary by compulsion. The sad truth is that Europe has never seemed to escape from totalitarianism. The liberal élite that currently run the place – for so long denouncing such excesses in the former communist régimes – are no different in kind. Like other régimes, they justify their centralism with appeals to 'peace', 'justice' and 'equality', and they are sustained by some kind of inner strength that comes from enforced collective solidarity.

As one writer put it, "Here we go again – down the same Orwellian road." In every case, the ruling classes despise democracy because it is unpredictable, so they ditch it and implement policies simply because they have the power to do so. But they also do it to ensure that, through adroit manipulation of the agencies of social control, their totalitarian systems are described over and over again, *ad infinitum*, as 'democratic', like vice paying tribute to virtue.

## **AGAINST NATION-STATE**

Like the old Soviet Union, the EU aims to create a new historic entity, and to make citizens forget their original nationalities and ethnic traditions. The nation-state was supposed to 'wither away' under Communism, as it is supposed also to do in the EU. Above all, recent events show that there is an overt attack on Christian values, the hallmark of white European civilisation. Nothing could be more indicative of the way that the Euro élites want to destroy our Germanic, Celtic and Graeco-Roman heritage than the way that Professor Buttiglione, the former Italian commissioner, was treated. He is a devout Catholic who considers homosex-

uality a sin. It was only a personal opinion, but it was enough to damn him in the eyes of the right-on neo-communist atheist MEPs (under various innocuous party labels such as 'Greens' and 'Liberals' they can form a majority of Euro members).

Similarly, the EU repudiates bourgeois normality, and attacks non-political sources of authority, the family and tradition. The Soviet leaders did the same. The Eurocrats are waging, in other words,

tribulation of wealth; to expropriate the allegedly ill-gotten gains of the 'capitalists'. The immigrants who are now allowed to enter Europe are also stealing the wealth of the countries they enter, by living in houses that they haven't built; by colonising city districts; by undercutting the cost of labour so that many jobs are not worth doing by indigenous Europeans (hence destroying the bargaining power of trade unions); and by making generous use of the health and welfare systems of

---

***ANTONY MILNE says that the EU is following the same path as the old Soviet Bloc: to totalitarian tyranny, overstretch and eventual self-destruction.***

---

some kind of permanent 'modernising' revolution which has already transformed European societies within the memory of most of us (this revolution has taken less than 30 years).

Communism was based on the justification of monumental global thieving. Its practitioners believed in the global redis-

countries to which they have not contributed.

In like manner, vast numbers of indigenous groups in the former Soviet Bloc were robbed of their ancestral rights. Millions had their farm holdings confiscated, and tens of thousands of other ethnic groups were deported to other



**ROCCO BUTTIGLIONE**

**The Eurocrats wouldn't tolerate his opposition to sexual perversion**

---



regions of the Soviet hinterland to create huge ethnic problems and resentments.

'White flight' is similarly a form of internal displacement of indigenous present-day Europeans, who are being increasingly dislodged from their own historic cities to the outlying hinterlands of their own countries by the incoming flood of immigrants.

Furthermore, the new ethnic minority classes can see what is happening, and can't believe their luck. As government agencies become more remote from democratic control, they become a magnet for loud-mouthed caucuses and ethnic egotists who wish to bypass democratic accountability and lie about the true situation that is arising in Europe. They are beginning, like the Arabs in France, to form themselves into ethnic/political pressure groups seeking special privileges as *racial groups*, rather than as individual stand-alone migrants seeking a better life.

### **TROJAN HORSE COMMISSION**

In Britain this is encouraged by the setting up of the powerful Commission for Racial Equality (CRE), which is pushing for an extra-large political representation of ethnic groups in all the major professions in the country, including the police, civil service and armed forces. In particular, the CRE seeks influence over the media, where it can exert even further totalitarian control over the values and attitudes of this country, and reinforce the lie that Britain is and always has been a 'multi-cultural society'. Just watch BBC news any evening and you will see what is already happening.

In other words, the white élites who run Europe have done what no other race in history has done: allowed foreign minorities to exploit European institutions for their own ethnic advantage, and to the disadvantage of themselves, the indigenous ancestral Europeans.

Many immigrants feel they have to lie about why they are in Europe; they have to give themselves legitimacy, try to stifle their own feelings of rootlessness and limit any confusion about their own identity. They imply that what is happen-



**COLONISING OUR CITIES**  
**Immigrants, such as those shown in this picture of a predominantly Asian area, are gradually dislodging our own people from land their ancestors have settled for centuries – and all this with the approval of the politicians of the European Union.**

ing in multi-cultural Europe is perfectly normal and will be the trend of the future. On the contrary, it is extremely *abnormal* – even pathological – since it doesn't apply at all to the burgeoning super-powers of the world: the Indians and Chinese, who think that we Europeans are mad to destroy ourselves racially in the way we are doing. If the EU leaders think multi-culturalism and ethnic strife is going to strengthen their societies economically and politically, they've got another think coming.

Just look at the brash arrogance that a black hip-hop resident of Sweden recently displayed to a naïve US journalist, who printed his crazy remarks with full approval. "I'm Swedish, definitely, and

more so now," he lied. "Sweden," he went on, "has a very clear picture of what a Swede is, but one that no longer exists – the blond, blue-eyed physical traits. That's changing. But it still exists in the minds of some people."

You bet it does! But the arrogance, reverse racism and immature insecurity that this guy is prepared to reveal to the world comes, let us remember, with the full support of the western white liberal élites – the kinds of élites who control what goes into your newspaper.

Vladimir Bukovsky, a Russian expatriate with first-hand experience of totalitarianism, predicts that the EU will collapse (mercifully) like the USSR did, under its own weight of imperial over-stretch and growing economic inefficiency. But it will leave immense destruction behind, along with huge ethnic problems.

One could go further. What is happening now is the commission of political crimes of an even worse nature than took place under Communist régimes. Soviet and Asiatic Communists – the Stalins, Maos and Pol Pots of the 20th century – killed millions of their own people, but at least there was an opportunity for the decimated populations to regenerate themselves later through procreation of their own kind (albeit that the modern Russians have not made a very good job of it, largely because of the grinding poverty that Communism left behind).

On the other hand, the present rulers of the EU have made sure that, through continued non-European immigration and the high birthrate of the newcomers, the indigenous populations of the European countries are being systematically ethnically cleansed in large parts of their homelands, and will soon become minorities there. But that, it seems, is the whole idea.

## **The Spearhead Group**

**The Spearhead Group is an independent non-party organisation dedicated to the defence and promotion of genuine racial nationalism in Britain and opposition to any liberalising or 'watering down' tendencies in the nationalist movement. Part of its function is to promote the circulation of *Spearhead* magazine.**

**The Spearhead Group regularly holds meetings to discuss topics banned from discussion in certain other nationalist quarters and to give speaking opportunities to nationalist speakers likewise banned.**

**The SG does not have a formal membership, with subscriptions, etc., but does keep a list of registered supporters so that these can quickly be kept informed of news and activities.**

**Those interested in helping in the work of the SG should write to PO Box 36, Armley, Leeds LS12 2YE. The SG's website is at: <www.rwb.org.uk>. Its free weekly online bulletin can be received every Monday by e-mailing: <nationalist.week@ntlworld.com>.**

### **Read *Spearhead* on the Internet!**

**The best articles and news items published in *Spearhead* in recent months can be seen on the *Spearhead* website. You can visit this site at:-**

**[www.spearhead-uk.com](http://www.spearhead-uk.com)**



# INDIVIDUALISM: THE POISONED CHALICE OF WHITE SOCIETIES

**FREEDOM** of the individual is an idea deeply ingrained in present-day Conservative thinking, just as it was in the thinking of 19th century Liberals. Today no mainstream political party or creed fails to incorporate this idea into its statement of beliefs – and not as something tucked away obscurely among the small print but as a major article of faith to be trumpeted at every opportunity.

With the ideal of individual freedom there is nothing wrong; but how high we place it in our order of priorities tells the world much about the way we think. Is it to be the foremost among political aims? Or is it to be viewed in balanced perspective along with others, such as national unity, social solidarity and tribal cohesion? There is obviously a point at which the pursuit of personal freedom can become prejudicial to group interests, even to group survival. Where do we draw the line in such things so that there is a harmony between the individual and the collective will?

In most Western societies this line tends to be drawn at a location which yields enormous space to individual sovereignty and only the tiniest space to the idea of a group ethic and duty. It is assumed that the individual should be as free as possible – just as long as his exercise of freedom does not interfere with the freedom and rights of his neighbour. Our laws are framed accordingly.

But in many other societies priorities are different. It is assumed that the collective and social good is absolutely paramount, so that laws are dedicated to this aim. Individual freedoms may then be allowed, not so much as rights but more as concessions, always with limits, and dependent on duties, social and national, being fulfilled.

## How others prosper

We owe it to a Muslim writer, Bik Patel, that these contrasts have recently been highlighted. Mr. Patel's particular focus is on the place of Jews within the host societies and cultures in which they dwell. In a recent internet article from <BIKPATEL@aol.com> he states as his principal themes that: "Jews have tended to prosper in individualistic European societies and have suffered in

## ***The needs of survival against external competition, says JEFFREY TURNER, require that we submerge selfishness in a communal effort***

non-Western societies, most notably in Muslim cultures where there are strong ingroup-outgroup sensibilities," and: "Non-Western collectivist societies are much more efficient than Western (individualistic) societies at keeping Jews in a powerless position where they do not pose a competitive threat." Patel then proceeds to the theme of 'ethnocentrism' and quotes a Jewish writer Stephen Levinson on the subject thus:-

"Ethnocentrism is based on a pervasive and rigid ingroup-outgroup distinction; it involves stereotyped negative imagery and hostile attitudes regarding out-groups, stereotyped positive imagery and submissive attitudes regarding ingroups, and a hierarchical, authoritarian view of group interaction in which ingroups are rightly dominant, outgroups subordinate."

This, of course, is a truly remarkable piece of verbiage which, stripped to essentials, means that the members of the indigenous host society put their own people first, that they place their own kindred on a higher plane of importance than outsiders, and that they relegate the latter to a subordinate position. This is in fact the way that tribal groups, including even Western ones, have thought and behaved across the ages. It is the natural order of things, about which no one should complain. The weakening of this instinct among people of the West in modern times is consequential only upon that perversion of the instincts which we know as 'liberalism' gaining a special foothold in Western thinking which has not been paralleled elsewhere.

And to what do we owe this growth? That is a complex question which does not permit simple answers, but Patel gives a hint of one factor, no doubt among numerous others, which deserves to be considered. He says:-

"Levinson clearly believes that ethnocentrism is a sign of psychiatric disorder, and that identifi-

cation with humanity is the epitome of mental health, but he never draws the obvious inference that Jews themselves are unlikely to identify with humanity, given the importance of ingroup-outgroup distinctions so central to Judaism."

In other words, do as we say, not as we do! And Mr. Patel stresses the matter again:-

"Leftism among Jews has functioned as a means of de-emphasising the importance of the Jewish-Gentile distinction among Gentiles while nevertheless allowing for its continuation among Jews."

And:-

"It is of some historical interest to note that an important feature of the rhetoric of German anti-Semites, for example Paul Lagarde, throughout the nineteenth century into the Weimar period was that Jews advocated political reforms such as liberalism, which opposed structuring society as a highly cohesive group, at the same time as they themselves retained an extraordinary group cohesiveness that enabled them to dominate Germans."

"During the Weimar period the Nazi propagandist Alfred Rosenberg complained that Jews advocated a completely atomised society while at the same time exempting themselves from this process. Whereas the rest of society was to be prevented from participating in highly cohesive groups, the Jews 'would retain their international cohesiveness, blood ties and spiritual unity' (Ascheim 1985, p. 239)."

## Fragmenting role

This would seem to suggest that organised Jewry has played a particularly active role in promoting the concept of society as a mere aggregate of self-absorbed individuals, with no ties binding them together except, perhaps, the circumstance of their




dwelling within a defined area and bound to a degree of co-operation for mutual convenience and to make living generally easier. This would certainly fit the ingroup-outgroup ethic that is central to Jews and a vital part of their self-perceived survival mechanism. It is one of the oldest strategies of warfare: maintain order in one's own camp while encouraging chaos in the rival camp. As long as the leaders of Jewry – though not necessarily all their flock – conceive themselves as being in a perpetual state of war with the rest of mankind, no other strategy is to be expected. Much the same dual code can be seen in the promotion of multi-racialism and cosmopolitanism among Gentiles, especially Europeans, while Jews are encouraged to maintain their racial exclusiveness. Here Patel quotes Wilmot Robertson in *The Dispossessed Majority*:-

“Any organised minority with a given amount of intelligence can obtain supremacy over a disorganised majority of equal intelligence. A race-conscious population is far more effective and successful in most forms of endeavour than a race-unconscious population group. Racial spirit, like team spirit, stimulates victory in all types of competition, athletic or political, intellectual or social. If the majority were as race-conscious as the Jewish minority and had half as many organisations working for it, Jewish predominance in America would disappear overnight. Where Jews diverge most sharply from the majority, aside from important personality differences, is in having a higher degree of ethnocentrism, not a higher degree of intelligence. To put it in a different perspective, Jewish power may derive as much or more from Majority weakness and disorganisation as from Jewish strength.”

All true, but perhaps more is needed to explain the deeply individualistic strand in the outlook of what Robertson has termed the ‘Majority’ than the artificial stimulus of Jewish propaganda against Gentiles. Are we naturally ‘wired up’ that way, and is there therefore nothing that can be done about it?

## The European genius

It is certainly a fact that European (including of course Euro-American) world supremacy has been founded very largely on the quite unique reservoirs of human genius to be found among ethnically European peoples. If all the major fields of human activity are to be examined – science and technology, invention, industrialism, agriculture, medicine, politics, war, discovery



**BENZ**

**He pioneered the motor car. The Japs have become masters at mass-producing it.**

and the arts – are examined, European pre-eminence in achievement is so overwhelming as to leave the remainder of humanity many miles behind; and this is not ignoring the recent progress in industrialisation and economic performance shown by East Asians, using techniques almost wholly reliant on European innovators.

So much of this human activity – if not indeed most of it – has originated in the personal initiative shown by a few exceptional individuals, in whose imaginations great ideas germinated before others had thought of them. Teams, collective entities, committees did not think of the internal combustion engine, penicillin, the modern solar system or DNA testing – though given the emergence of the basic germ of the invention a degree of teamwork has sometimes assisted in its further development. Likewise, Beethoven's Third Symphony and Shakespeare's *Hamlet* have been the product of rare individual minds. Nothing approaching these works has come from other civilisations, at least in the modern context.

Karl Benz built the first motor car in Germany in 1885. Now Japanese teams of factory workers, using collective intelligence and organisation, can build better cars, for the mass market at least, than anyone else in the world. This perfectly illustrates the difference between Western and Oriental mental traits. IQ tests put *average* Japanese and Chinese levels slightly above *average* European ones, though possibly not those of Northern Europeans. A good average intelligence – not genius – is the key to quality production of hi-tech

products on the modern assembly line. It is the incidence of genius among Europeans that sets them above the rest of humanity; and genius is essentially an individual, not a collective, quality.

It may thus be admitted that the greatness and power of the modern White World are largely the product of outstanding individuals, at first working on their own; and that only when their ideas have come to fruition have they enjoyed the endorsement and participation of those around them.

This might, on first consideration, argue in favour of individualism as the guiding ethic for European societies. Leave individuals to do as they please, to follow their own egocentric inclinations, and this will result in a better life for all. Out of the activity of so many such individuals, each doing what he or she wants to do and without regard to any higher social or national obligation, will come the greatest good. That is the ‘liberal’ prescription for Utopia.


## Whites on retreat

But in all there is a huge snag. Today Europeans, with all their unmatched attributes, are on the retreat everywhere. The most outstanding feature of the past half-century has been the loss of White dominance, not only at a world level but at the level of the respective national societies formed and built by Whites. We are simply being dispossessed in, and of, our own countries. We talk of the United States super-power, but within America the Jewish lobby calls all the shots in politics and the media, and effectively tells Americans what to think and what to do. To get a measure of the omnipotence of this lobby we only have to look at the scale of American life being sacrificed in Iraq – for no discernible American interest. In Britain the picture is much the same.

In the meantime, racial minorities have been taking over the towns and cities of America, Britain and Europe – not only physically and residentially but also in terms of power in the town and city halls. And what have the indigenous White inhabitants – remember, the founders and builders of these countries – been doing while all this has been happening? They have been occupied with their own individual interests, making money for themselves and their families, following careers, pursuing ambition and achievement that is essentially personal, almost never tribal, national or racial.

If Britons and other Whites are to have a future, they must somehow find a way of subordinating their individual desires to the good of the tribal whole. The alternative is extinction at the hands of less gifted peoples.





The Editor  
'Spearhead'  
PO Box 2471  
Hove  
E. Sussex BN3 4DT

SIR: The Kyoto Accord might truthfully be described as just one more Marxist fraud. This can be understood only if we understand Marxism and the nature of 'Kyoto'.

The first step of the Accord was the 'Bio-Diversity Treaty', signed in Rio de Janeiro in 1992. That 'Treaty from Hell', as one perceptive observer called it, contained ten points. One was that "Man is the enemy." Another was that the use of natural resources should be a charge (or cost). The implication is that the United Nations would have the power to regulate and tax the natural resources of nations.

This was agreed by the heads of the nations represented at the time, and there was a second stage at which it was confirmed in 2002. Kyoto follows naturally.

The Kyoto plan first excused the 'developing' nations from all obligation to comply with its rulings. Thus they could pollute as much as they liked. After all, nearly all of these nations are Marxist-controlled and we are their targets for ruin.

Then the plan set a level for all. The productivity of the 'developing' Marxist states was well below this level, while that of the so-called 'West' (meaning the White Christian nations) was far higher. Our media at the time kept virtual silence on so-called 'emission trading', which is just a device for a massive transfer of money and wealth from West to East, in other words from us to the Marxist rulers of the Third World countries.

Only fools or villains can agree to this evil device.

P. LISLE  
Southport, Lancs.

SIR: Bob Geldof has branded politicians as 'pathetic' for their failure to react as he would wish to the problems of Africa.

Well, those who did not subscribe to Bob's 'Band Aid' of yesteryear, or to the recent 'Live Aid' need feel no remorse. Abdul Rhamin, an African, speaking on BBC news recently, said that foreign aid was useless and that Africa ought not to be the White man's burden. Some 'experts' also admit that the aid at best does little good and at worst is thoroughly destructive, because it results in a culture of dependency.

Since there never seems to be a time when some part of Africa is not threatened by famine – despite the huge quantities of food and money we send it – this dependency has already well established itself,

and the selling of nerve-jangling 'pop' records will do nothing to reverse it.

Many would say that the real problem with Africa is the African, while others would say that some performers in the popular entertainment industry are merely using Africa and its problems as a cynical means of generating publicity to further their own careers.

N.G. CHARNLEY  
Blackpool, Lancs.

SIR: Trade unions now seem to accept, without any criticism, the policy of having black sections in their ranks. Why is this so? Is the policy not an assertion that white trade union leaders are not competent to represent black workers? I wonder that white trade unionists don't feel insulted at this racist slur laid against them by their fellow-workers who are black.

What galls me more than anything else on this issue is that white trade unionists praise these black sections, and say that they help to promote their culture and heritage.

If any black trade unionist runs in an election to office, the Whites don't utter a word of protest if he urges his fellow Blacks to vote for him, but no White would dare to do the same thing with his fellow-Whites.

HARRY MULLIN  
Glasgow

SIR: Only five years ago our local newspaper ran on its front page an article saying: "Let's welcome them!" This was a reference to the red carpet being put out for 200 asylum-seekers who would be arriving, uninvited, in our city.

Today the authorities admit to over 6,000 of these people. The local lefties, backed up by the local paper, run stories about Hull's homeless, all said to be asylum-seekers who have had their applications to stay in the country turned down.

Ordinary local folk in fact put the number here at well over 6,000. Whole streets have been taken over by the newcomers, mainly Iraqis who are said to have turned up penniless and are unable to work because they haven't the necessary permits. However, many of them now run cafes, barber's shops, butcher's and grocery stores. These have created little ghettos all over the place.

Meanwhile, knife crimes in the city have soared. There is gang warfare, sexual assaults and even murder among the people we are supposed to 'welcome'. Of course, this crime rise has not only involved asylum-seekers; local criminals have contributed. However, what is noticeable is how the immigrant share in the rise has been greatly played down in terms of publicity.

A perfect example was a gang battle on the local football club's car park recently, when two rival gangs of Iraqis clashed. Several were knifed, and eye-witness reports said that men were carrying baseball bats and coshes. Lumps of wood and scaffolding poles were also used. Some of

the people involved had travelled from as far away as Leicester, so this was not a drink in a pub that got out of hand; it was planned.

The local newspaper made the briefest possible mention of the battle, tucked away at the bottom of a column, and there was no follow up. Contrast this with a clash between Hull City and Huddersfield Town fans (Whites of course) at the end of last season. No one was stabbed and no weapons were seen. This was headlined several times in the local rag. There were many arrests and charges as a result of this incident, but no arrests or charges have been made following the battle among the Iraqis. This provides a perfect example of why locals believe that asylum-seekers are above the law.

JOHN DUNNE  
Hull, East Yorks.

SIR: This is with reference to your writer's mention last month of Vladimir Bukovsky's statement about the allied policy of insisting on the unconditional surrender of the Germans in World War II. This subject was also raised in *The Daily Telegraph* in September, when marking the 60th anniversary of the Battle of Arnhem. There was discussion of why the Germans were then still fighting so hard when it was obvious that they had lost the war. The unconditional surrender policy was the reason. This, of course, greatly prolonged the war.

My late wife was German, and she would relate a grim joke going around Germany at the time: "Enjoy the war because the peace will be dreadful."

In July and August 1945 my unit was in Babelsberg, near Potsdam. We went once into Berlin. What we saw was a lunar landscape: endless miles of rubble; a pall of brick-dust in the air; women searching in the ruins for any usable items; and villainous-looking Soviet troops standing about with armfuls of looted wrist-watches. These were not Russians but Mongols and other Asiatic troops.

As we stood and looked at this scene, one of our men said: "Well, they had it coming to them," but everyone else was silent. It was just impossible to feel any satisfaction at this devastation.

This was all 59 years ago, but it was something which, once seen, was never to be forgotten.

D. MARGOLIS  
Tiptree, Essex

SIR: Up to now I have tended to support the pro-hunting brigade because I see them defending our heritage against a lot of left-wing radicals who seek to destroy it. But isn't it about time that some of the passion these people put into their cause was directed against the flood of this country by aliens – a far greater threat to the British heritage? What say you, Countryside Alliance people?

G.T. WEBB  
Pickering, N. Yorks.



## Cause for complaint

These days, the order in which adjectives are placed has assumed an unusual importance. If you speak of a "fat black cat" you could perhaps be making a disparaging reference to your aunt Edna's overweight moggy. On the other hand a "black fat cat" may well be one of South Africa's *nouveaux riches* created by 'Black Economic Empowerment', a scheme introduced by the (ANC) Government in 2003. Ten years into 'democracy' whites still run 90 per cent of the economy; hence the need for this veritable gem of legislation whereby companies are required to co-opt black executives to redress the balance. The trouble is that this new, though not necessarily qualified, élite, which enjoys champagne and cocktails, nightclubs, posh restaurants and weekend polo at the Inanda Club, is largely made up of former stalwarts of the ANC! Unsurprisingly, this does not go down well in a country where unemployment exceeds 46% and where more than 20 million live below the internationally defined poverty line of less than a dollar a day.

## Let Paris have them

Scarcely a week goes by without some story of how thousands of us, thanks to credit cards, loan sharks, etc., are spending wildly beyond our means. This is bad enough but, even worse, we have also to contend with the inflated egos of those who are desperate to chuck away our money on their schemes.

A recent estimate suggests that the cost of staging the 2012 Olympics in London could amount to £12 billions. Do not believe a word of it, but rather reflect on the price of that Scottish Parliament building which began life at a figure of around £40 million and ended up costing us ten times as much. Remember also that Montreal, which staged the games in 1976, has only recently paid off the final £500 million instalment of the debt incurred, and that Australians are still paying out £18 million every year to prop up the white elephants built for the 2000 Olympics in Sydney.

Lord Carter, Chairman of Sport England, insists that

# THE WAY WE LIVE NOW

Some observations on contemporary society  
by JUNIUS

this must not happen here. Oh really? Has he forgotten the Dome?

The biggest leg-pull of all is the contention that, as a spin-off, a large derelict area in East London will be regenerated. If Chancellor Gordon Brown reckons that this kind of money is available, let him spend it, minus the subterfuge, where it is most needed. A few billions laid out on cleaning up Britain's streets would be welcomed by those, especially the elderly, who venture out of doors in fear for their lives.

Naturally our scheming political masters are all for having this super circus in our capital city. They hope that the most expensive 17 days in our history will help to take peoples' minds off the miserable state of a nation where nothing works and nobody cares.

## Stupidity on a grand scale

Returning to the subject of our streets, do you recall the days when every violent episode could be traced back to the villainous utterances of Brigadier Enoch Powell and the neo-fascist thugs who subscribed to his views? All the race riots and looting were attributed to our insensitive colonialist attitude and our heavy handed policemen. But that, of course, was before the twits at Westminster embarked on their full-scale melting-pot experiment, the effects of which are plain to see in ancient Peterborough.

"Inter-racial hatred pits local migrants against 'dirty newcomers'" screamed a *Times* headline to a report which told us that: "The city's May riots were initially dismissed as a localized problem, but Peterborough's experience of a violent antagonism developing between rival ethnic minority communities

is increasingly finding echoes across England [*sic*]". Pakistanis are pitted against Kurds, West Indians against Somalis, Kurds against Turks, Kashmiris against Iraqis, and so on and so on. We do not think one needs to be a right-wing extremist to condemn the madness that has brought us to this sorry pass.

Most computers have a function known as 'system restore' which puts everything back as it was at some previous date. Peterborough could do with something like that!

## Hang on!

Just as if we did not already have a surfeit of unsolicited junk 'phone calls, the Tories are planning a telemarketing campaign in certain marginal constituencies. This means that, ideally, up to 400,000 of us should be forearmed with at least 50 difficult questions. The objective? Simply to keep us spending our money for as long as we can. Howard's pathetic bunch are already £15 million in debt. With a bit of effort we could get that figure up to £20 million!

## The bride wore pink!

In Greenford, West London, a little monster masquerades as a minister of the Anglican Church. Last year Neil Richardson solemnised sodomy on no fewer than 12 occasions, claiming that he had experienced no interference from above. This, we understand, was a reference, not to the Almighty, but to his gutless ecclesiastical superiors. So, is this what we have come to in the 1,440 years since Saint Columba founded his monastery and church on Iona? Can this be the final death throes of a religion embraced by our noble ancestors when they ceased to worship their Gods of Nature, inimitably described thus by Thomas Carlyle in

1841?:-

"A broad simplicity, rusticity, so very different from the light gracefulness of the old Greek paganism, distinguishes this Scandinavian System. It is Thought; the genuine Thought of deep rude earnest minds, fairly opened to the things about them; a face-to-face and heart-to-heart inspection of the things – the first characteristic of all good Thought in all times. Sincerity, I think, is better than grace. I feel that these old Northmen were looking into Nature with open eye and soul; most earnest, honest, childlike, and yet manlike; with a great-hearted simplicity and depth and freshness, in a true, loving, admiring, unfeeling way. A right valiant, true old race of men."

Never short of an adjective our Tom! And of course, we old Northmen were valiant, earnest and manlike (though some of us, at just eighteen, possibly a touch childlike) when they put us into uniform, 1939-45, and told us that this was a war for freedom, for a way of life worth fighting for. The idea of a conspiracy aimed at the destruction of our race and nation never crossed our minds. But we live – and, bit by bit, we learn! Mr. Richardson's despicable antics are but another reminder that we are a folk which has lost its way, has forgotten its past glories, and now flounders on the brink of extinction. Happy(?) New Year!

## Cohen, Cohen, Gone!

When late last year the furniture chain Courts went bust, the press focused on the plight of customers who had lost money on goods paid for but not delivered. Nothing was mentioned about the unfortunate directors of the company who had lost their jobs. One lucky one among them, Howard Cohen, had the foresight last March to transfer £3.9 million, which represented 15 per cent of the company's pension fund, into his own private account. The trustees of the worker's fund, which include Bruce, Paul and Edmund Cohen, could have blocked the transfer but did nothing. And nothing is what the shareholders will get!



# SPEARHEAD BOOKS

**PO Box 2471, Hove  
East Sussex BN3 4DT**

**Abolition of Britain, The (Peter Hitchens) £9.95.** The cultural revolution from Lady Chatterley to Tony Blair.

**Adrien Arcand (Unknown Author) £5.00.** A report of the Kingston Convention and the Massey Hall Rally held in Canada in 1938 by the National Unity Party, led by Adrien Arcand.

**A.K. Chesterton Memorial Archive, The. £14.45.** Three of AKC's booklets produced during the 1950s which throw much light on Britain's situation today. A.K. Chesterton's clear exposition of the malevolent malaise affecting Britain, and the solutions required to correct the situation, can be regarded as being the seminal and guiding influence of the National Front, and later, when it was formed, the BNP.


**Alberta Experiment, The (C.H. Douglas) £10.00.** The founder of the Social Credit Movement explains what happened when SC. policies were adopted in the Canadian province.

**Alfred's Metres of Boethius (ed. Bill Griffiths) £14.95.** Clarity of text and informative notes give this study a most approachable presentation of Old English verse. King Alfred rebuilt the Latin verses from Boethius's *On The Consolation of Philosophy* into a new alliterative poetry.

**Alien (The General League of Anti-Communist Associations 1933) £7.00.** The true story of the alien domination of Weimar Germany 1919-1932. Introduction by Dr. E.R. Fields.

**Ancient Eugenics (Allen G. Roper, BA.) £3.50.** How our forefathers maintained the quality of the race.

**.... And the Truth Shall Set You Free (David Icke) £15.95.** Although ridiculed and misquoted by the media, David Icke says much with which nationalists will agree.



**Animal Welfare in Germany (Kerry Bolton) £3.95.** An impartial look at some pioneer legislation which was quickly repealed by the allies after World War II.

**Anti-Semitism and the Babylonian Connection (Des Griffin) £9.75.** An investigation into this fascinating and yet vexing question.

**Arriba Espana (Major F. Yeats Brown) £3.95.** An eye-witness and professional view of the situation in Spain during the Civil War.

**Aspects of Anglo-Saxon Magic (Bill Griffiths) £14.95.** A neat division between mainstream and occult, rational and superstitious, Christian and Pagan, is not always easy to discern. The Church outlawed practices considered evil, like divination, folk healing and spells, while at the same time adopting similar rituals itself.

**Barnes Review, The (sample copy) £5.50.** A well produced US revisionist history magazine covering a range of topics from ancient to modern.

**Battle of Maldon, The (Bill Griffiths) £4.95.** Describes the famous battle between the men of

Essex and the Vikings fought in AD 991. The book includes source text, edited text, paralleled literal translation, verse and notes on pronunciation.

**Bell Curve, The (Richard J. Herrnstein and Charles Murray) £19.95.** Breaks new ground and old taboos! Describes the deliberate creation of a new underclass, with racial connotations.


*The prices given here include postal charges for dispatch within the British Isles. For postage overseas please enquire before ordering.*

*Payments should be made to 'Spearhead Books' and sent to the above address.*

*Spearhead Books handles works by numerous and varied independent authors. It should not need stating that the publisher of Spearhead may not necessarily endorse all the contents of these works.*

**Beowulf (John Porter) £8.95.** The verse in which the story unfolds is the finest writing in old English text that exists. A literal word-by-word translation is printed opposite the edited text.

**Beyond Tears (Catherine Buckle) £14.95.** The tragic story of the post-colonial history of Rhodesia, with the country's reversion under dictator Robert Mugabe's rule to barbarism, famine and horror.



**Bible and Race, The (Capt. Kenneth McKilliam) £1.50.** A little booklet to enable clerics and others to understand the Bible and its racial message.

**Blackshirts on Sea (J.A. Booker) £19.50.** A fascinating pictorial record of the British Union pre-war summer camps on the South Coast, with comprehensive text.

**Blowing the Whistle (Paul van Buitenen) £12.99.** One man's fight against fraud within the European Commission.

**British Peace and How to Get it, The (Sir Oswald Mosley) £4.00.** A proposal for a negotiated peace in 1940 with Empire intact and people safe.

**Captain McKilliam Memorial Archive (Captain K.R. McKilliam) £10.00.** Four booklets of particular interest to Christians and students of conspiracies.

**Case for David Irving, The (Nigel Jackson) £13.75.** An exposure of the selective censorship of history and freedom of speech which proceeds at our peril.

**Church and Usury, The (Rev. Patrick Cleary) £13.75.** Although not much mentioned by Christians today, the sin of usury is still at the root of many of the world's problems.

**Churchill's War Vol. I (David Irving) £25.00.** The first book in a two-part set. This book changes the way World War II is viewed, forever.

**Churchill's War Vol. II (David Irving) £25.00.** The history of the middle and later years of World War II.



**Confessions of Kurt Gerstein (Henri Roques) £8.95.** Many claims regarding the 'Holocaust' are based on the evidence of this man. This book takes the evidence to pieces and exposes the unreliability of everything he said.

**Conquest Through Immigration (George W. Robnett) £13.00.** How Zionism turned Palestine into a Jewish state.

**Corrupted Art and Jazz Music (John Rozmital and Henry Ford Snr.) £3.30.** A concise explanation of how the distortion of European culture came about by fraud and financial control of key sectors of the art world.

**Creed of Iron (Ron McVan) £9.50.** The 14-Word Press endeavours to bring Wotanist art, history, poetry, wisdom and inspiration to a wider audience.

**Dartmoor Massacre, The (Vivian Bird) £6.00.** In 1812 American prisoners were held in Dartmoor prison. This is the account of their fate.

**Death of King Arthur (Trans. by James Cable) £6.95.** Set in the twilight of the Arthurian world. The knights meet at the Round Table after their quest for the grail.

**Deceived, Damned and Defiant (David Lane) £14.25.** Sentenced to 190 years incarceration, Mr. Lane is one of the best known white political prisoners in the world today. His revolutionary writings are presented with many beautiful illustrations. Undaunted by his plight, unshakeable in his convictions, David Lane has emerged as an insurgent icon of a turbulent age.

**Diamond in the Dust (by political colleagues) £10.00.** 100pp plus 4pp colour. An appreciation of Ian Stuart, his life and work.

**Dilemma of Inter-racial Relations, The (Prof. R. Gayre of Gayre) £4.50.** An analysis of the problems of forcing different races together, and the results of the efforts of compulsion.

**Dispossessed Majority, The (Wilmot Robertson) £21.30.** This book examines the problem of the loss of power by the numerically superior population. The racial aspect of this is fully and honestly dealt with.

**Do we Need Mass Immigration? (Anthony Browne) £6.00.** All the reasons why immigration by the teeming masses of the Third World is bad for Britain.

**Economics for Helen (Hilaire Belloc) £5.75.** A complete analysis of the materialist economic creeds of the modern world, with these discussed and criticised.

**Enemies of the Queen (Dr. Kitty Little Ph.D.) £3.90.** Written from the author's personal knowledge and experiences of the enemy within.

**English Warrior, The (Stephen Pollington) £16.75.** An attempt to get beneath the surface of



Anglo-Saxon warriorhood and to investigate the rites, social attitudes, mentality and mythology of the warfare of its times.

**Facing the Abyss (A.K. Chesterton) £3.50.** The first leader of the National Front reveals secret forces behind world events.

**Facts About the Bank of England (A.N. Field) £4.50.** First published in 1938, this book is an excellent primer for all those with an interest in finance and the way it works

**French Revolution, The (Nesta Webster) £19.00.** An excellent historical account, with descriptions of the horrors that set the scene for the more terrible events of the communist revolts in other parts of the world.



**Generalship of Alexander the Great, The (Maj. Gen. J.F.C. Fuller) £7.25.** A biography and study of one of the world's greatest war leaders.

**Global Manipulators, The (Robert Eringer) £9.00.** The real power of the internationalists exposed.

**Grand Design, The (Douglas Reed) £5.00.** A lifetime of experience and knowledge are drawn upon to explain the hidden causes of our people's distress.

**Guernsey Experiment, The (Olive and Jan Grubiak) £3.75.** How the Government of Guernsey raised funds by issuing its own currency without interest.

**Guild Alternative, The (Arthur Penty) £3.75.** An answer to the chaos of the modern world based on traditional Christian social teaching.

**Hobbit, The (J.R.R. Tolkien) £6.95.** The moral imperatives of the Northern European shine through in this great saga.

**How it was Done (Steven Smith) £5.00.** The inspiring story of the rise of Burnley BNP from almost nothing to national renown. The secret of the branch's dramatic electoral success and many other tips on how to build a local organisation, from the man who pioneered this outstanding achievement. 75pp.

**Irish Blueshirts (Keith Thompson) £2.50.** About an organisation set firmly against both the IRA and Communism.

**Iron Curtain Over America (John Beaty) £7.50.** The long story about the epic struggle between Asia and Europe, from the Teutonic Knights to the Communist nuclear spies.

**Iron Heel, The (Jack London) £5.50.** Deals with the financial oligarchy.

**Iron Maze (Gordon Brook-Shepherd) £7.99.** About the link between the Western secret services and the Bolsheviks.

**King Arthur's Place in Pre-History (W.A. Cummins) £10.95.** A legend traced back to the Bronze Age, possibly linked to Stonehenge and the early Wessex culture.

**Land for 'The Chosen People' Racket, The (Major C.H. Douglas) £7.50.** How land is filched from the people by banks and government.

**Letters of T.E. Lawrence, The (Edited by M.**

**Brown) £9.25.** An essential book for Lawrence enthusiasts.


**Leuchter Report, The (Fred Leuchter) £4.50.** A professional forensic examination of the alleged 'gas chambers' at Auschwitz.

**Life of John Birch, The (Robert Welch) £8.00.** The life story of the man who gave his name to one of the most important anti-communist organisations in America.

**Lifting the Lid off the ADL (Dr. William L. Pierce) £2.30.** Some astounding revelations regarding the real 'haters' within the mass media.

**Man and Technics (Oswald Spengler) £4.50.** A contribution of ideas and philosophy by a great thinker.

**March to Sanity (Maj. Gen. J.F.C. Fuller) £4.20.** Commonsense ideas that could have saved Britain from the horrors of war.



**Mead-Hall, The (Stephen Pollington) £14.95.** Communal meals were an important feature of Anglo-Saxon society. Some were ritual and others informal. A comprehensive study of the subject is here presented.

**Mind Britain's Business (Capt. Gordon Canning) £2.50.** A foreign policy to bring peace and security. Written pre-war but still relevant today.

**Moses Hess: Prophet of Communism and Zionism (Shlomo Avineri) £6.50.** Why do Communism and Zionism both have the same destructive ends? This book investigates.

**Motorways for Britain (Alexander Raven Thompson) £1.50.** Constructive solutions for traffic chaos. First published in 1937.

**Myth of the Noble Savage, The (Kerry Bolton) £6.75.** About the falsification of history as a cultural weapon.

**Nanny Knows Best (A. Rosenbaum) £4.95.** A not too far-fetched tale of political correctness that has become insane.

**Norse Poems (W.H. Auden and P.B. Taylor) £7.60.** Old Icelandic poems translated to capture the rhythmic quality of the originals.

**Occupation (Paul Comben) £3.00.** A book of nationalist poetry.

**Onward Christian Soldiers (Donald Day) £4.00.** About propaganda and censorship in Eastern Europe. A man's struggle for truth, 1920-1942.


**Open Sesame (B.E. Biggs) £1.50.** Poetry from a stalwart patriot.

**Origins of the Second World War, The (A.J.P. Taylor) £9.75.** The classic work by this most respected historian, which began the trend towards revising many previously held views on the causes of World War II.

**Party System, The (Hilaire Belloc and Cecil Chesterton) £4.00.** A critique of the fraudulent parliamentary system. First written in 1911, this edition has a preface by Aidan Mackey.

**Pawns in the Game (William Guy Carr) £14.95.** A classic conspiracy exposé.

**Perkin Warbeck Conspiracy, The (Ian Arthurson) £10.95.** Warbeck claimed to be one of the Princes later murdered in the Tower of London. He was used by those in power and came close to changing the course of European history.



**Poisoned Chalice (Henry Klein) £4.50.**

The autobiographical story of the lawyer who defended those who wanted peace with Germany during the 'Great Sedition Trial' in the USA.

**Policeman's Narrative, A (Henry A. Clark) £7.00.** About witchcraft and murder in Zimbabwe.

**Populism and Élitism (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.95.** A study of the influences of élite groups on societies throughout history.

**Prodigal Genius (John J. O'Neill) £18.50.** The life of Nikola Tesla, the great inventor whom history forgot.

**Racial Realities In Europe (Lothrop Stoddard) £7.25.** An examination of the various ethnic types that made up modern Europe before the current black invasion.

**Robin Hood (J. Ritson) £11.50.** Ancient poems, songs and ballads. First published in 1795.

**Second World War in Photographs, The (Imperial War Museum) £21.95.** A large-format book by world-famous photographers.

**Setting the record Straight: Letters From Cell No. 7 (Ernst Zündel) £10.00.** The story of vengeance by the Canadian Government against a man who dared to challenge the orthodox version of the 'Holocaust'.

**Thug or a Million Murders (Col. James L. Sleeman) £12.50.** The devotees of the cult of 'Thuggee' in India were murderers who believed they had the protection of the goddess Kali. The writer's grandfather was principally responsible for eliminating the cult, and this book relates the story of his endeavours.

**Tomb of English People, The (Duncan Balmer) £8.70.** This new book gives a concise history of England and contemptuously spurns political correctness. As the author points out, the graves of our ancestors may be found all over the earth, but our influence remains.

**Why I Took Up Arms Against Bolshevism (Lt. Gen. Andrei Vlasov) £6.00.** The tale of the lives and deaths of the Russians who fought against Stalin.

**World Conquerors, The (Louis Marschall) £16.95.** A great mine of historical detail that explains much of what brought European civilisation to death and destruction: a period that the author describes as "The Second Feast of Purim."

**Wotan (Carl Gustav Jung) £5.60.** An examination of the Northern God Wotan as an archetypal image deep within the European psyche.

**Yesterday and Tomorrow. £4.50.** Essays by various authors. About the tradition of national revolution.

**Your National Identity (Capt. Kenneth McKilliam) £2.50.** A Christian view of nationalism and identity by an author who combined both. Contains maps, regalia and emblems.



## LEGAL FUND

It has been necessary for us to reopen the Legal Fund, launched in 2003 for the purpose of covering legal expenses incurred in our editor's challenge against his expulsion from the British National Party during that year. As most people will know, this challenge was successful: BNP Chairman Nick Griffin was forced to make an out-of-court settlement whereby Mr. Tyndall's expulsion was annulled and he was reinstated.

As reported in some detail on page 4 of this issue, Mr. Griffin has tried the same thing again. Mr. Tyndall was informed of his expulsion from the BNP following the sitting of a disciplinary tribunal which heard various charges against him on December 4th. The charges were issued and Mr. Tyndall suspended from party membership shortly following his announcement of his intention to challenge Mr. Griffin in an election for the BNP leadership.

This matter has now been placed in the hands of a firm of solicitors, who will be making an application for judicial review of the case in London's High Court. We are confident that the outcome will be the same as previously.

In the meantime, however, some legal expenses are going to be incurred. Quite reasonably, the solicitors have requested an 'up-front' payment of £500.00 to begin proceedings, which has been sent. More will obviously be required before the case is concluded. We ask all supporters to give generously so that this action may be pursued to a victorious conclusion. One supporter – not a BNP member – has already got this fund off to a good start with a generous contribution of £100.00.

If the action is successful and full costs are awarded the plaintiff, donors will be given the option of their money being returned.

All contributions should be marked 'Legal Fund' and sent to PO Box 2471, Hove, East Sussex BN3 4DT.

## Thank you!

Our editor and Mrs. Tyndall would like to take this opportunity to thank all those who sent them greetings cards during the recent festive season. We hope everyone will understand that it would be almost impossible to reciprocate in all cases. We therefore trust that this message of appreciation will suffice. We wish all our readers and supporters a happy and prosperous new year.

## LATE THIS MONTH, AS WARNED

This issue should be reaching our readers a little before the middle of January. We explained last month that this would be due to a shut-down by our printers and print-finishers over the entire Christmas and New Year period. However, additional annoyance and inconvenience has been caused us by the police raid on our office accompanying our editor's arrest on the 12th December (see pages 6-8), during which a computer and other items were seized. We have had to replace much equipment and then get used to using the new equipment.

## How to obtain *Spearhead*

Make sure of your regular copy of *Spearhead* by becoming a subscriber. Please fill in the form here and send it to us, together with payment, as directed. Please note also the rates for bulk-buyers.

NAME.....

ADDRESS.....

ENCLOSED SUBSCRIPTION OF.....

PLUS DONATION (IF ANY) OF.....

The following rates are for 12 issues (please tick box where applicable):-

British Isles £24.00 ☐; Overseas surface mail £27.00 ☐; Air mail Europe £29.20 ☐; Air mail elsewhere £36.60 ☐.

**PLEASE NOTE:** These rates apply if your remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If the remittance is in any other currency, an additional charge of £5.50 must be made in the case of cheques and money orders and £3.00 in the case of banknotes, these paying for our bank's commission and charges.

Discounts for bulk purchases can be obtained as follows:-

10-19 copies £1.10 each; 20-49 copies £1.00 each; 50-99 copies 83p each; 100-199 copies 69p each; 200-499 copies 60p each; 500 copies or over 55p each. For advice on postal rates for these quantities please contact our office.

All cheques and postal or money orders should be made out to *Spearhead* and sent to PO Box 2471, Hove, East Sussex BN3 4DT. Please do not include payment for *Spearhead* in a remittance which includes payment for other items.


## The Eleventh Hour

*The Eleventh Hour* has sometimes been described as the 'Bible' of British Nationalism. First published in 1988, it was updated in the 1998 edition to take in the many developments occurring on the political scene in the intervening years. Written by the founder of the British National Party, John Tyndall, the book gives comprehensive coverage of all the major current issues affecting Britain. It delves into the ideologies of liberalism, conservatism and the left, and also examines that of British Nationalism and its background. It contains an extensive analysis of the British economy and political system. It looks at issues connected with freedom and order, the mass media, the environment, race and immigration, Northern Ireland, the Commonwealth, foreign policy and defence. It also gives a detailed outline of the development of the BNP and its predecessor organisations in Britain.

*The Eleventh Hour* runs to 537 pages. It is partly autobiographical, giving an account of the author's origins, early political awakening and subsequent life's work.

**Price: hardback £25.00 plus £4.78 p&p; softback £17.50 plus £3.75 p&p.** Please make out cheques to Albion Press and send orders to PO Box 2471, Hove, East Sussex BN3 4DT.

JOHN TYNDALL



The book you must read if you want to know what John Tyndall stands for



# Great Spearhead Anniversary Rally

Sunday 28th November saw nearly sixty White Nationalists gather in a hall just outside Milton Keynes to celebrate the 40th anniversary of *Spearhead*. The meeting was chaired by Hillingdon BNP Organiser Ian Edward (currently under suspension). His fetching Union Jack tie was quite a dazzler for the audience! The first among no fewer than seven speakers was SG National Organiser Eddy Morrison, who recalled seeing the first ever issue of *Spearhead*, then published in newspaper-style format, with its prophetic headline 'Race War Looms', and he showed how today this terrifying prediction by that first *Spearhead* had come true!

Next speaker was Peter Eaton, another ex-BNP branch organiser. Peter gave a lively talk about the multi-racial conditions of his own area. Peter was followed by BNP Burnley Councillor David Edwards, who told of his great difficulty in representing the BNP in the council chambers of Burnley with no back up from party headquarters. The last speaker before the break was Sharon Pastou, one of the dedicated stalwarts who built the Burnley BNP. Sharon told a harrowing tale of neglect by the party leadership and how the branch had struggled on to get eight councillors elected despite this.

Ian then announced an interval. An excellent buffet and drinks were available, arranged by the lads from Tyr Services. The meeting resumed with popular nationalist speaker Peter Rushton taking the floor. Peter gave a strong speech and talked of the transformation of London from a white metropolis into a multi-racial mish-mash, with so many clashing cultures that his recent trip

through it had been a nightmare. Only the 'white-flight' outer areas of the capital held any resemblance to the London of a few decades ago.

Next, former BNP National Organiser Richard Edmonds gave an impassioned speech highlighting the lies, hypocrisy and decline of the Tory Party. Richard reflected on how the Tories had des-

has heard in a long time. He spoke of the virtues of loyalty and honour, so essential in a movement like the BNP, and how these virtues, along with comradeship, must be restored if the party was to prosper.

John Tyndall finished a brilliant speech with a call for a fight to preserve the



The meeting: Ian Edward speaks. Seated left to right: Richard Edmonds, John Tyndall, Sharon Pastou & Peter Rushton

troysed the National Front's election chances in the 1970s with lies about how they would save Britain from being 'swamped' by immigrants, and how they would do so again if they could get away with it.

Finally Ian introduced John Tyndall, ex-leader and founder of the BNP. JT gave one the best speeches this writer

principles on which the BNP had been founded. The speech was greeted with a long standing ovation.

The meeting ended with a collection towards putting up an election candidate. Literature stalls for the SG and Tyr services did a good trade and thanks go especially to Ricky Fawcus for the hard work he did to stage the meeting.

**Report by Eddy Morrison**

## New year's thought

The effectiveness of running a 'BNP is Nazi' campaign, with shocking photos of concentration camps and images of Adolf Hitler, lessens with each election. We need to beat the BNP politically, and to do that we need a grass-roots strategy based firmly in the very communities for which the BNP is competing.

Nick Lowles (*Searchlight*, December 2004)

## SPEARHEAD SUPPORT FUND

Income from the sales of our magazine is not enough to cover full production and administrative costs. We therefore rely on regular donations from our supporters so that we may remain solvent.

Also, because of the controversial nature of the opinions expressed in these columns, it is impossible to induce wholesale distributors to handle the magazine. Hence another reason for our needing additional financial support.

Please send all contributions to *Spearhead*, PO Box 2471, Hove, East Sussex BN3 4DT. Please note that receipts are not normally sent for donations of less than £10 unless specifically requested – in which case an SAE would be appreciated. Thanks.



**Now available on DVD – Now available on DVD**




*Inaugural Spearhead Group meeting, Leeds, 11th July 2004, DVD. Speeches by Tony Braithwaite, Peter Rushton, Eddy Morrison and John Tyndall.*



◀ *Friends of Spearhead buffet-lunch, West Midlands, 25th September 2004. Speakers: John Morse, Tess Culnane, Peter Rushton, Richard Edmonds & John Tyndall.*

**Tyr Services are pleased to present a British Nationalist 'first' in the form of four just released DVDs of highly successful gatherings held in 2004. With your support and patronage we hope to release further titles in the coming months, and these will be advertised in *Spearhead*. All DVDs cost just £12.00 each, including p&p. As a special offer, you can purchase three of these DVDs and receive a fourth one free. Please make cheques or postal orders out to Tyr Services and post to BCM Tyr, London WC1N 3XX.**

*Nationalist meeting, Ruislip, 7th November 2004. Speakers include Tess Culnane, Richard Edmonds and John Tyndall ▶*



*Spearhead 40th Anniversary meeting, Milton Keynes, 28th November 2004. Speakers: Eddy Morrison, Peter Eaton, Sharon Pastou, David Edwards, Peter Rushton, Richard Edmonds & John Tyndall.*